

CoCoA-5 - Design #989

init file obligatory?

29 Nov 2016 23:04 - John Abbott

|   |             |                        |             |
|---|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| <b>Status:</b>  | In Progress | <b>Start date:</b>     | 29 Nov 2016 |
| <b>Priority:</b>  | Normal      | <b>Due date:</b>       |             |
| <b>Assignee:</b>  |             | <b>% Done:</b>         | 10%         |
| <b>Category:</b>  | Cleaning    | <b>Estimated time:</b> | 0.00 hour   |
| <b>Target version:</b>  | CoCoA-5.?.? | <b>Spent time:</b>     | 0.95 hour   |
| <b>Description</b><br>Currently the CoCoAInterpreter requires that there be a file init.cocoa5 in the same directory as the packages.<br><br>If the file not found, the interpreter prints an error message (before the banner) and proceeds.<br>Is this the right behaviour? |             |                        |             |
| <b>Related issues:</b><br>Related to CoCoA-5 - Feature #485: Initialization for CoCoA-5: file init.cocoa5 <span>Closed</span> <span>20 Mar 2014</span>  |             |                        |             |

History

#1 - 29 Nov 2016 23:04 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #485: Initialization for CoCoA-5: file init.cocoa5 added

#2 - 29 Nov 2016 23:10 - John Abbott

I found this while trying to create a "quick start" CoCoA-5 by giving it an empty directory as the place to look for packages.

CoCoAInterpreter produced the following error message:

EmptyDir/init.cocoa5: No such file or directory

At least a "nicer" warning message should be printed. Perhaps something like this:

WARNING: missing or unreadable initialization file init.cocoa5

Not sure if the file name should be just the basename (*i.e.* without any directories), the name as it appears currently (*e.g.* EmptyDir/init.cocoa5 above), or the full path. Perhaps the current approach is a good compromise between readability for non-specialists and helpfulness to hapless debuggers.

**#3 - 29 Nov 2016 23:12 - John Abbott**

In my tree `init.cocoa5` contains 9 lines, but only 2 (or perhaps 3) actually do anything useful.

I suggest keeping just the first 3 lines, and deleting the rest. Objections?

**#4 - 29 Nov 2016 23:23 - John Abbott**

The critical section of source code appears to be around line 126 of `Main.C`.  
(call to `perror`)

**#5 - 30 Nov 2016 07:34 - Anna Maria Bigatti**

John Abbott wrote:

In my tree `init.cocoa5` contains 9 lines, but only 2 (or perhaps 3) actually do anything useful.

I suggest keeping just the first 3 lines, and deleting the rest. Objections?

done. cvs-ed

**#6 - 30 Nov 2016 07:42 - Anna Maria Bigatti**

- % *Done changed from 0 to 10*

When I added `init.cocoa5` I did not consider the possibility of calling `cocoa` passing explicitly an empty directory instead of packages. Now we know it makes sense (very fast start of `cocoa` for calling builtin functions only). So it should not give an error.

Should we instead (or as well) have a flag `-NO_PACKAGES`?

**#7 - 01 Dec 2016 11:36 - John Abbott**

- *Status changed from New to In Progress*

If it can be done quickly, I see no objection to having a `--no-packages` option.

I am not entirely happy about the name of the option `--packageDir`, probably it should be `--package-dir`?