CoCoALib - Design #982

Catching interrupts in example progs?

25 Nov 2016 17:35 - John Abbott

Status: Closed Start date: 25 Nov 2016

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100%

Category: Tidying Estimated time: 1.99 hour

Target version: CoCoALib-0.99700 Spent time: 1.95 hour

Description

With the current design (where the exception produced by an interrupt is not of type CoCoA::ErrorInfo), if you interrupt an example program it produces a message about an Uncaught unknown exception.

What do we think is the "right" behaviour?

Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Bug #971: CheckForInterrupt does not work in the expect...

Closed 14 Nov 2016

Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1388: GlobalManager: create SignalWatcher inte...

New 09 Jan 2020

History

#1 - 25 Nov 2016 17:44 - John Abbott

Perhaps the current behaviour is not so bad: CoCoALib converts any interrupts that it detects into an exception of type CoCoA::InterruptReceived (which is deliberately not derived from CoCoA::ErrorInfo). The examples then say that an "uncaught unknown exception" has reached main; while technically (almost) correct, this could be a little disconcerting for a novice.

A possibility would be to add a few lines to every example (and every test?) to catch CoCoA::InterruptReceived, and then print out a more "friendly" message. I have already placed some candidate lines in ex-empty.C, but they are currently commented out. It would take some time to add the lines to every example (and test). Perhaps this would be less worrying for a novice?

Another option is to catch all CoCoA::exception objects (*i.e.* both InterruptReceived and ErrorInfo) then print out a common message. With the current design ANNOUNCE works only for ErrorInfo; so some small change would be needed (either make ANNOUNCE work for both, or insert an if dynamic cast in the catch block to pick out the cases ANNOUNCE can handle).

Ideas? Comments? Other suggestions?

#2 - 25 Nov 2016 17:49 - John Abbott

- Related to Bug #971: CheckForInterrupt does not work in the expected way added

#3 - 05 Nov 2019 11:49 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Target version changed from CoCoALib-1.0 to CoCoALib-0.99700
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

There are two example progs for interrupts (ex-interrupt1.C and ex-interrupt2.C).

I am not sure that they are so well written; perhaps the SignalWatcher should be inside the potentially long function?

Also reconsider the main topic of this issue; and hopefully resolve everything soon.

At the moment, it seems pointless to try to handle interrupts in very quick examples (e.g. less than 5 seconds run time?)

10 Apr 2024 1/2

#4 - 05 Nov 2019 14:26 - John Abbott

On my machine all examples are faster than 5s, but several took more than 2s.

I have made some (local) changes to make some of the tests a bit faster; so now the only one which takes more than 2s is ex-hilbert1, so I have added the necessary lines to let it catch "interrupted exceptions".

I hope to check in soon.

#5 - 14 Nov 2019 19:22 - John Abbott

- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 50

I have modified ex-empty. C so that it creates a Signal Watcher immediately after Global Manager, and also has a handler for Interrupt Received so that a sensible error message can be printed out.

If you don't want to do any signal handling, then you can just delete the relevant bits of code.

#6 - 09 Jan 2020 13:42 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved
- % Done changed from 50 to 70
- Estimated time set to 1.99 h

I now disagree with my comment 3 (above), and think that it is (usually) best to create the SignalWatcher just after the GlobalManager.

I think that the current situation for the examples is satisfactory (though perhaps not ideal).

#7 - 09 Jan 2020 13:48 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #1388: GlobalManager: create SignalWatcher internally? added

#8 - 09 Jan 2020 22:29 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed
- % Done changed from 70 to 100

10 Apr 2024 2/2