

## CoCoA-5 - Feature #980

### CoeffSize: function to measure the size of coeffs in a poly

24 Nov 2016 18:38 - John Abbott

<b>Status:</b>	In Progress	<b>Start date:</b>	24 Nov 2016
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	20%
<b>Category:</b>	CoCoA-5 function: new	<b>Estimated time:</b>	3.00 hours
<b>Target version:</b>	CoCoA-5.?.?	<b>Spent time:</b>	1.85 hour
<b>Description</b>			
In email Anna proposed a function, tentatively named CoeffSize, to measure the size of the coeffs in a poly (over QQ).  Discuss.			

### History

#### #1 - 24 Nov 2016 18:51 - John Abbott

The definition originally proposed in email was to return a pair of integers being effectively  $[\max(\text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{num}(c)) \mid c \text{ in coeffs})]$  and  $[\max(\text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{den}(c)) \mid c \text{ in coeffs})]$ .

JAA wrote that he is perplexed by this defn, and proposed two alternatives:

- determine the least common denom of the coeffs, return log of the commondenom and the max of log of numerators (after clearing the denom)
- return sum of logs of numerators and sum of logs of denominators (roughly the print-size of the poly)

Anna wrote saying that the idea was to estimate the modulus needed so that (fault-tolerant) rational recovery would work. In this case the result should probably be a single integer being  $\max([\text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{num}(c)) + \text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{den}(c)) \mid c \text{ in coeffs}]$ . Maybe it would be better to find the max of  $\text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{num}(c)*\text{den}(c))$ ?

If the rational recovery tries to be clever by keeping track of common-denom-so-far then CoeffSize needs to be a little more sophisticated (keep track itself of the common-denom-so-far, then return max of  $\log(\text{ScaledNum}*\text{CommonDen})$ ).

#### #2 - 24 Nov 2016 19:01 - John Abbott

Anna has put a first prototype in experimental.cpkg5; it follows the original defn. It is deliberately not documented.

JAA thinks that if we find a function useful then it should be made public because it will probably be useful (eventually) to someone else.

Regarding fault-tolerant rational recovery, JAA thinks the most appropriate size measure for a rational is something like  $0.3*(3.5+\text{FloorLog}_2(\text{num}(q)*\text{den}(q))+\text{FloorSqrt}(\text{FloorLog}_2(\text{num}(q)*\text{den}(q))))$  if the "continued fraction method" is used. Probably  $4+\text{FloorLog}_{10}(\text{num}(q)*\text{den}(q))$  gives a fair approximation in most cases.

#### #3 - 24 Nov 2016 19:12 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- % Done changed from 0 to 20

- Estimated time set to 3.00 h

Maybe the one I wrote could then be called NumDenSize10?  
I still think it is useful, for a human, to know that.

**#4 - 28 Nov 2023 22:29 - John Abbott**

*- Status changed from New to In Progress*

JAA notes that FloorLog2 is faster than FloorLog10 -- a quick check suggest at least 100 times faster.  
Also FloorLog2 gives a finer measure of size, but it is less immediate to comprehend in terms of "decimal digits" -- you just need to multiply by 0.30103 (approx)

At the moment I am unsure when such a function would actually be used. It has been in experimental.cpkg5 for about 7 years, but I'd never really noticed it.