# CoCoALib - Slug #897

# SimplestBigRatBetween: why is it so slow?

25 Jun 2016 18:58 - John Abbott

Status: Closed Start date: 25 Jun 2016

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100%
Category: Improving Estimated time: 2.49 hours

Target version: CoCoALib-0.99800 Spent time: 2.45 hours

## **Description**

Investigations in issue #860 showed that SimplestBigRatBetween was mysteriously slower than expected (and than an equivalent implementation in RingTwinFloat).

Find out why; and hopefully improve the code.

## Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Bug #860: Check impl of RingTwinFloatImpl::mylsRational Closed 30 Mar 2016

#### History

## #1 - 25 Jun 2016 19:02 - John Abbott

- Related to Bug #860: Check impl of RingTwinFloatImpl::mylsRational added

#### #2 - 19 Oct 2020 15:22 - John Abbott

Why did I give no examples to test this on?

After reading #860, a possible test might be

```
q := fibonacci(401)/fibonacci(400);
eps := 2^(-553);
q2 := SimplestRatBetween(q-eps,q+eps); // should be same as q
```

## #3 - 19 Oct 2020 17:38 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

The profiler suggests that the reciprocal 1/(myFrac-myQuot) is surprisingly costly. I shall modify operator/ to handle reciprocals specially to see if that makes it any faster.

#### #4 - 20 Oct 2020 11:39 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 20

I have now put special handling in for reciprocals, and the code runs a bit faster.

Probably the best solution would be to make ContFracIter use BigInt instead of BigRat... should that be a new issue?

27 Apr 2024 1/2

## #5 - 20 Oct 2020 12:59 - John Abbott

Here is the speed test I used:

```
q := fibonacci(4001)/fibonacci(4000);
eps := 2^(-5553);
t0 := CpuTime();
for i := 1 to 1000 do
    q2 := SimplestRatBetween(q-eps,q+eps); // should be same as q endfor;
println "Time: ", TimeFrom(t0);
```

2020-10-20: took about 7.5s (on my linux box)

## #6 - 21 Oct 2020 13:38 - John Abbott

- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 20 to 70

I replaced used of BigRat (field myFrac) inside ContFracIter by a pair of BigInt values (myNum & myDen). Now the speed test above takes 2.2s; I'm (pleasantly) surprised by the speed gain.

# #7 - 22 Oct 2020 16:39 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-1.0 to CoCoALib-0.99800

# #8 - 03 Nov 2020 21:03 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- % Done changed from 70 to 90

I have checked in (despite the presence of some experimental changes).

## #9 - 16 Sep 2021 13:12 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 90 to 100
- Estimated time set to 2.49 h

Closing after 11 months in feedback.

## #10 - 16 Sep 2021 13:47 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

27 Apr 2024 2/2