CoCoA-5 - Feature #823

NewPolyRing with weights -- better interface?

26 Nov 2015 11:16 - John Abbott

 Status:
 Closed
 Start date:
 26 Nov 2015

 Priority:
 Normal
 Due date:

 Assignee:
 Anna Maria Bigatti
 % Done:
 100%

 Category:
 enhancing/improving
 Estimated time:
 2.01 hours

Target version: CoCoA-5.4.2 Spent time: 1.70 hour

Description

According to the C5 manual, if we want to create a new poly ring with given weights then we must supply a complete order matrix, and also say how many rows contribute to the weights (the "grading dim").

The examples in src/CoCoA-5/tests/lecture-HF1.cocoa5 which use this feature all seem to be of the form:

```
wts := mat(/*weights matrix*/);
P := NewPolyRing(QQ, indets, CompleteToOrd(wts), NumRows(wts));
```

Would it not be simpler and clearer to allow the following input:

```
wts := mat(/*weights matrix*/);
P := NewPolyRing(QQ, indets, wts);
```

perhaps wts could even be just a list of lists of integers? (though the conversion to a matrix automatically verifies that each row has the same length).

2024-03 new function name: NewPolyRingWeights(QQ,"x,y", W);

Related issues:

Related to CoCoA-5 - Feature #1747: NewPolyRing with indices -- better interf...

Related to CoCoALib - Bug #1789: GradingMat with negative weights should com...

Closed 12 Mar 2024

Related to CoCoALib - Design #832: Generalize grading matrix

New 04 Dec 2015

History

#1 - 26 Nov 2015 11:20 - John Abbott

- Category set to enhancing/improving
- Target version set to CoCoA-5.?.?

An alternative would be to accept a record as an argument, but this does make the input longer: for instance

```
wts := /*weights*/;
P := NewPolyRing(QQ, indets, record[weights := wts]);
```

This approach has the advantage that whoever reads the code understands immediately the role of the 3rd arg (though an astute choice of variable name would probably do this too).

Comments? Opinions? Ideas?

10 Apr 2024 1/4

#2 - 29 Jun 2023 17:51 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.?.? to CoCoA-5.4.4

It would be good to offer an easier way to specify name ranges: The following does not currently work:

```
NewPolyRing(QQ, "x[1..4],y[1..4]", W, 2);
```

It is much more cumbersome having to write something like this:

```
concat(SymbolRange("x",1,4), SymbolRange("y",1,4))
```

Also it would be handy being able to specify just the weights (with implicit grading dim), and let NewPolyRing complete the term ordering (somehow, presumably RevLex). Perhaps there should be two similar but different names? OSCAR is easier than CoCoA!

#3 - 29 Jun 2023 18:05 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

It would be good to offer an easier way to specify name ranges: The following does not currently work:

x[1..4],y[1..4] would be quite nice in cocoalib as well ;-) a bit of parsing needed.

Follow in new issue (so we don't mix up quite different topics) ----> #1747

It is much more cumbersome having to write something like this: $\left[\ldots \right]$

P := NewPolyRing(QQ, indets, wts); would be trivial to do and nice to use. I cannot see any drawbacks in it.

what about

10 Apr 2024 2/4

#4 - 29 Jun 2023 18:05 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 20

#5 - 29 Jun 2023 18:08 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Feature #1747: NewPolyRing with indices -- better interface? added

#6 - 22 Dec 2023 10:43 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

An alternative would be to accept a record as an argument, but this does make the input longer: for instance [...]

This approach has the advantage that whoever reads the code understands immediately the role of the 3rd arg (though an astute choice of variable name would probably do this too).

I think it would easier to implement, use and document, to have NewPolyRingWeights (which should just call NewPolyRing as we do now)

#7 - 22 Dec 2023 11:29 - John Abbott

[after phone discussion]

Might the name **NewPolyRingWithWeights** be clearer?

Anyway, we can always later change the name, and make the old one obsolescent.

#8 - 14 Mar 2024 08:55 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Bug #1789: GradingMat with negative weights should complain (or deal with them properly!!) added

#9 - 14 Mar 2024 09:54 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Assignee set to Anna Maria Bigatti
- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.4.4 to CoCoA-5.4.2
- % Done changed from 20 to 70

#10 - 14 Mar 2024 11:22 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Design #832: Generalize grading matrix added

#11 - 15 Mar 2024 15:40 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Description updated

See #1789-10

#12 - 18 Mar 2024 16:17 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Description updated
- Status changed from In Progress to Closed

10 Apr 2024 3/4

#13 - 18 Mar 2024 17:02 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Estimated time set to 2.01 h

Added example in ex-PolyRing2.C

#14 - 18 Mar 2024 21:39 - John Abbott

I did slightly prefer the name I suggested in note 7 (but it is longer).

Anyway, this is a good improvement to the interface: easy-to-use correctly, and unlikely to be used wrongly.

10 Apr 2024 4/4