CoCoALib - Feature \#747
New function for making list of symbols (indeterminate names)
27 Jul 2015 12:07 - Anna Maria Bigatti

| Status: | Closed | Start date: | 27 Jul 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: |  |
| Assignee: | John Abbott | \% Done: | $100 \%$ |
| Category: | Improving | Estimated time: | 3.00 hours |
| Target version: | CoCoALib-0.99538 summer 2015 | Spent time: | 2.70 hours |
| Description |  |  |  |
| CoCoA-5 has the nice "shortcut syntax" $R::=Q Q[x, y, z]$ and $R::=Q Q[x[1 . .4]]$. <br> In CoCoALib this is often trickier (there are the functions symbols with up to 4 strings, and SymbolRange). <br> Make this simpler. |  |  |  |

History
\#1-27 Jul 2015 12:14-John Abbott

- \% Done changed from 0 to 20

JAA has implemented SymbolList which accepts a string of symbols, and returns the symbols as a vector<symbol>.

It does not handle symbol ranges!

NOTE: it was written for use in a demo at ISSAC 2015

NOTE2: no documentation :-)

## \#2-27 Jul 2015 12:27-Anna Maria Bigatti

- \% Done changed from 20 to 30

I inserted JAA's code in CoCoALib (with a minimal extra check on missing ","s)
It is in symbol. H and C
I renamed SymbolList into symbols, thus removing the old symbols("x") which accepted a string containing just one symbol (so it is backward compatible!).
Should we remove

```
symbols("x","y");
symbols("x","y","z");
symbols("x","y","z","w");
?
Now they can be called with the nicer syntax
```

```
symbols("x,y");
symbols("x,y,z");
symbols("x,y,z,w");
```


## \#3-27 Jul 2015 13:42-Anna Maria Bigatti

I changed all the examples, and commented out the old symbols with 2,3, and 4 arguments.

```
*** Good news: all examples ran successfully. ***
```

checking-in...

## \#4-30 Jul 2015 17:17-John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99536 June 2015 to CoCoALib-0.99540 Feb 2016


## \#5-30 Jul 2015 17:37- John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99540 Feb 2016 to CoCoALib-0.99538 summer 2015


## \#6-09 Nov 2015 15:21 - John Abbott

Do we want this function to handle symbol ranges?
e.g. symbols("x[1..3,2..5]") would produce the same result as SymbolRange(symbol("x", 1,2),symbol("x",3,5))

I do not expect symbols to replace SymbolRange since the latter can easily accept subscripts determined at run-time (whereas symbols would require its string arg to be created at run-time, presumably via ostringstream).

It probably makes sense for symbols to accept ranges; it'll take some time to implement is well, I fear.

## \#7-09 Nov 2015 23:22-Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:
Do we want this function to handle symbol ranges?

It probably makes sense for symbols to accept ranges; it'll take some time to implement is well, I fear.

No, better not. Not worth the effort. SymbolRange is good enough.

## \#8-10 Nov 2015 10:17- John Abbott

- \% Done changed from 30 to 50

That's fine by me -- less work for me :-)
Indeed symbols("x[1..3,2..5]") is not really that much more readable than SymbolRange(symbol("x[1,2]"),symbol("x[3,5]"))
Ahhh, there's a catch! I'm not sure we allow symbol("x[1,2]"), but instead require symbol("x",1,2). Should symbol("x[1,2]") be allowed?

## \#9-01 Feb 2016 13:38 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- \% Done changed from 50 to 90

This new fn symbols has been working fine for at least 3 months, so I have deleted the old ones (both from symbol.H and symbol.C files).
The suggestion of allowing symbol("x[1,2]"), while tempting, could be confusing, and perhaps not so easy to document. If we do allow it, what happens if someone calls symbol("x[1,2]",3,4)? Also symbols("x[1,2]") does almost the same thing.

I suggest waiting before extending symbol to accept a string arg which contains indices. It is also not so clear to me when such a function might actually be useful.

## \#10-01 Feb 2016 14:21-John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- \% Done changed from 90 to 100

There's no real point in keeping this issue open. I've added a reference to this issue in the doc for symbol, just in case we want to revisit the decision about symbol("x[1,2]").

Closing.

