

## CoCoALib - Feature #72

Feature # 68 (Closed): cleanup doc for matrix, MatrixViews, \*Matrix\*,....

## MatByRows, MatByCols

21 Dec 2011 17:50 - John Abbott

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	21 Dec 2011
<b>Priority:</b>	Low	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	John Abbott	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	New Function	<b>Estimated time:</b>	5.00 hours
<b>Target version:</b>	CoCoALib-0.99534 Seoul14	<b>Spent time:</b>	4.10 hours
<b>Description</b>			
CoCoA4 has MakeMatByRows and MakeMatByCols.			
Should CoCoALib offer MatrixViews which in an analogous way?			
If so, what should the pseudo-ctors be called? MatByRows, MatByCols.			
Strictly it is redundant having both as transpose(MatByRows(vec)) is MatByCols(vec)			

### History

#### #1 - 21 Dec 2011 18:02 - John Abbott

Even if we decide not to create MatByRows/MatByCols we should mention it in the documentation (e.g. under *Shortcomings*, etc)

#### #2 - 28 Mar 2013 17:06 - John Abbott

- Category set to New Function
- Priority changed from Normal to High
- Target version set to CoCoALib-0.9953

JAA notes that there is a ctor for DenseMat which behaves as MatByRows except that it makes a copy of the entries.

Advantages of MatByRows and MatByCols:

- does not copy the elements (so should be fast)
- the impl is easy/fast (in fact I have already done most of it)

Disadvantages:

- uses a reference internally so there is a risk of a dangling reference with incautious use
- might be confusing given that there is a NewDenseMat ctor which works similarly to MatByRows (but we could easily eliminate that ctor, replacing a call to it by a call of the form NewDenseMat(MatByRows(...)))

NB I have increased priority in the hope that we can settle this issue shortly.

#### #3 - 29 May 2013 12:22 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee set to John Abbott

- Priority changed from High to Low
- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.9953 to CoCoALib-0.99534 Seoul14
- % Done changed from 0 to 20

JAA now thinks it is better to offer both MatByRows and MatByCols so that user code is more readable (& expresses more clearly the programmers intent). Of course, internally the implementation of MatByCols could simply be a transpose of a MatByRows...

Since this is not needed for the upcoming CoCoA summer school, I'm delaying "delivery" until 0.9954.

#### #4 - 29 Oct 2013 15:15 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99534 Seoul14 to CoCoALib-0.99532

#### #5 - 01 Apr 2014 19:26 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99532 to CoCoALib-0.99533 Easter14

#### #6 - 08 Apr 2014 18:41 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99533 Easter14 to CoCoALib-0.99534 Seoul14

#### #7 - 16 Jul 2014 14:57 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- % Done changed from 20 to 90

The code is already there (and has been for how long?) Fns are MatByRows and MatByCols. I added some documentation. I might add an example (if there isn't already one).

Note: there is also a commented out signature with arg vector<vector<RingElem>>.

#### #8 - 16 Jul 2014 16:01 - Anna Maria Bigatti

should we rename the CoCoA-5 functions ~~Make~~MatByRows?  
(and move the "Make.." into obsolescent?)

#### #9 - 16 Jul 2014 16:18 - John Abbott

Not yet entirely convinced about renaming MakeMatByRows. It is very similar to MatByRows **but** there is an important difference: the C5 fns do make copies. Perhaps it does not matter that much -- after all the MakeMatByXXX fns are essentially never called in the packages!

Christof is undecided. He wondered whether it'd be better to include the word **View** in the CoCoALib fns.

#### #10 - 17 Jul 2014 16:39 - John Abbott

The impl for MatByCols was missing; it is there now (quick cut-and-paste job). Also added an example.

Not closing because of the question in the previous comment about the name (e.g. MatViewByRows as Christof suggested).

#### #11 - 31 Jul 2014 14:54 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

- % Done changed from 90 to 100

Christof has a valid point about the mnemonic value of including **view** in the name, but Anna points out that none of the other matrix view pseudo-ctors contains view in the name. Adding View would make all the names longer, an aspect we do not like. So we reject Christof's idea (for the moment); but we will reconsider if problems arise often enough that changing name would be a good idea.

Closing.