CoCoALib - Design #619

Modulus (for CRTMill) ambiguous

09 Sep 2014 15:22 - John Abbott

Status:	Closed	Start date:	09 Sep 2014		
Priority:	Normal	Due date:			
Assignee:	John Abbott	% Done:	100%		
Category:	Renaming	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	0.00 hour	
Target version:	CoCoALib-0.99536 June 2015	Spent time:	2.70 hours		
Description		ł			
modulus(crt)) create	as an associated function modulus(const CF s an ambiguity according to the compiler. . It can be avoided by calling explicitly CoC				
Find a better solution	n.				
Related issues:					
Related to CoCoALib - Design #620: Redesign CRTMill					
Related to CoCoALib - Bug #631: Ambiguous: rank for matrix (in ex-matrix1.C)			In Progress	11 Sep 2014	
	с с	ttrix1.C)	In Progress Closed	11 Sep 2014 26 Sep 2014	
	с с	,	0	•	

History

#1 - 09 Sep 2014 15:25 - John Abbott

I do not understand why the compiler thinks that modulus(crt) is ambiguous.

There is an STL template fn modulus, but I thought template fns were "lower priority" than "exact match" calls. Perhaps its a problem with my old compiler?

I suppose we'll be forced to rename modulus. Any suggestions for a new name?

20141014 correction: in the STL there is a templated class called modulus, so modulus(blah) could also be a ctor call.

#2 - 11 Sep 2014 12:37 - John Abbott

An obvious "solution" is to put using CoCoA::modulus somewhere inside the function which calls it. Just about acceptable, but still a nuisance.

#3 - 14 Oct 2014 18:18 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

I think the C++ rules will compel us to change name -- asking the user to write CoCoA::modulus every time is not acceptable. I could not find any useful hints on the internet.

What new name could we use? CombinedModulus (rather long), CurrModulus or CurrentModulus (or CurrMod?)

I prefer not to use a member fn as this is "incompatible" with our philosophy of using them only for modifying operations.

#4 - 14 Oct 2014 18:22 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

I think the C++ rules will compel us to change name -- asking the user to write CoCoA::modulus every time is not acceptable. I could not find any useful hints on the internet.

What new name could we use? CombinedModulus (rather long), CurrModulus or CurrentModulus (or CurrMod?)

CombinedModulus is long but very clear (better than modulus). CRTModulus?

#5 - 14 Oct 2014 18:26 - John Abbott

Assuming my explanation in the *correction* in comment 1 is correct, then the STL people could break our code at any time by introducing a new template class whose name coincides with the name of any function we have defined :-(

#6 - 14 Oct 2014 21:34 - John Abbott

(see #631 comment 5) I think the problem goes away if the code is put inside namespace CoCoA.

#7 - 28 Oct 2014 15:44 - John Abbott

- Assignee set to John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 20

As Anna pointed out the names **CombinedModulus** and **CombinedResidue** are very clear (though long). Since it seems unlikely that these functions will be used in complicated formulas/expressions, their length is probably not a hindrance.

I'll implement the changes.

#8 - 28 Oct 2014 16:11 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

- % Done changed from 20 to 90

Implemented; all tests pass. Updated doc.

Oddly, no tests called these fns; nor any examples -- rectify?

Checked in.

#9 - 25 Jun 2015 18:12 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

- % Done changed from 90 to 100

Added example and test (actually they are the same). Checked in. Closing!