

## CoCoALib - Bug #591

### Problem with template instantiation and order of include directives

16 Jul 2014 14:05 - John Abbott

<b>Status:</b>	In Progress	<b>Start date:</b>	16 Jul 2014
<b>Priority:</b>	Low	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	50%
<b>Category:</b>	Portability	<b>Estimated time:</b>	5.00 hours
<b>Target version:</b>	CoCoALib-1.0	<b>Spent time:</b>	5.20 hours
<b>Description</b>			
Some versions of g++ (v4.3.2, v4.4.7, v4.6.3) and intel C++ compiler gave errors when compiling ex-UtillsVector1.C if, in the file degree.H, the #include directive for UtillsVector.H was placed before the other #include directives. The error produced indicated that some prototypes for cmp were not visible (in ptic for two @MachineInt@s).			
We have no idea why, but since several compilers complain we wonder whether it isn't a strange limitation of C++.			
Logging the problem here. The solution is just to move the #include directive in degree.H to after the other two.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to CoCoALib - Bug #264: Compilation problem with "degree.H" (inline f...		<b>Closed</b>	<b>12 Oct 2012</b>

#### History

##### #1 - 16 Jul 2014 14:07 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 0 to 10

JAA failed to find anything helpful on the internet.

I'm just hoping that the solution of moving the #include will be sufficient for the foreseeable future.

##### #2 - 16 Jul 2014 14:14 - John Abbott

Clang 3.0 on my computer gives no error.

##### #3 - 09 Jan 2017 16:56 - John Abbott

- Description updated

The problem persists with g++ 5.3.1. It must be C++ thing, some weird restriction about calling "global" fns from inside template code.

##### #4 - 10 Jan 2017 11:12 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress

It really is a C++ trap for the unwary... grrr!

The following code fails to compile because the last line (iter(vs);) needs the second defn of func, but that is not visible at the point where the template fn was defined. I'm at a loss for words -- why does C++ have this "feature"???

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <string>

using namespace std;

void func(int n)
{
    cout << "int ";
```

```

}

template <typename T>
void iter(const std::vector<T>& v)
{
    const int n = v.size();
    for (int i=0; i < n; ++i)
        func(v[i]);
    cout << endl;
}

void func(const std::string& str)
{
    cout << "str ";
}

int main()
{
    vector<int> vi; vi.push_back(1);
    vector<string> vs; vs.push_back("abc");
    iter(vi);
    iter(vs);
}

```

#### #5 - 10 Jan 2017 11:20 - John Abbott

There remains the question of how to correctly organize the header files in CoCoALib so that this "wonderful feature" of C++ does not cause too much grief.

#### #6 - 11 Jan 2017 15:02 - John Abbott

Mario and I looked in Stroustrup's C++ book (v.4), and the magic phrase appears to be **point-of-instantiation binding** (sec. 26.3.3).

The matter is discussed on the following thread:

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/30514337/point-of-instantiation-and-name-binding>

In summary, the point-of-instantiation binding apparently **does not work for built-in C++ types**; instead the **point-of-definition binding** is used. Grrr!

What I still do not know is how best to organize the few templates in CoCoALib header files to avoid future pain from these arcane C++ rules. Ideas are welcome!

**#7 - 12 Mar 2020 14:39 - John Abbott**

- *Target version changed from CoCoALib-1.0 to CoCoALib-0.99850*

- *% Done changed from 10 to 50*

What should we do with this issue? The correct "solution" is for us to learn the foibles of C++.

It does highlight an important, awkward point of C++. We do not use templates that much, but I suppose it will sooner or later cause us grief again.

In practice... should we just close/reject this issue?

**#8 - 09 Feb 2024 10:18 - John Abbott**

- *Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99850 to CoCoALib-1.0*