

CoCoA-5 - Design #481

Multiline comments -- obsolescent?

18 Mar 2014 17:29 - John Abbott

Status:	Closed	Start date:	18 Mar 2014
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	John Abbott	% Done:	100%
Category:	Parser/Interpreter	Estimated time:	4.00 hours
Target version:	CoCoA-5.1.0 Easter14	Spent time:	2.75 hours
Description			
Multiline comments are convenient, inconvenient and potentially hazardous.			
Convenient for commenting out blocks of code quickly.			
Inconvenient for the emacs UI (and probably the GUI too).			
Potentially hazardous since C5 does not recognise nested <code>/*...*/</code>			
JAA proposes "discouraging" multiline comments:			
(a) eliminate the <code>/*...*/</code> syntax			
(b) forbid multiline <code>/*...*/</code> comments (but single line OK)			
(c) allow multiline <code>/*...*/</code> but with a warning			
(d) allow them but put a warning in the doc.			
Related issues:			
Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #469: Emacs UI: keywords in multiline comments		Resolved	12 Mar 2014
Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #471: Nested multiline comments		Closed	12 Mar 2014
Related to CoCoA-5 - Feature #492: New C5 fn for adjusting the warning level		New	24 Mar 2014

History

#1 - 18 Mar 2014 17:35 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 10

My opinion is:

- (a) is too drastic: not backward compatible, single line `/*...*/` can be useful
- (b) my favourite (and I believe it is the **right** solution)
- (c) possibly useful stepping stone towards (b), sort of backward compatible
- (d) backward compatible (but no other positive features)

The convenience of multiline `/*...*/` comes from being able to comment out blocks of code; this could be replaced by ensuring that the UIs have a "comment out block" operation which puts an end-of-line comment at the start of each line in the block. Note that this approach also avoids the potential hazard of unrecognised nested comments.

NOTE emacs UI already has a comment-region command; no idea about the GUI.

#2 - 20 Mar 2014 11:13 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Target version set to CoCoA-5.1.0 Easter14

Current cvs produces a "warning". That's very good for maintainers who have to deal cleanly with lots of code.

For users, with much simpler files, probably with outputs commented out with `/* */`, and who are less likely to produce lots of trouble with multiline

comments I believe we should leave this possibility (even C++ allows it).

So I suggest, as for many instances of this kind, to set this at the same warning-level as the warning for *compulsory ";"*. I think we should to that until we can offer the "comment-region" facility in the GUI as we offer in the EmacsUI.

#3 - 22 Mar 2014 10:21 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 20

I have changed the warning level to WS_PEDANTIC, so most users won't see the warnings.
[now I have to change my settings so that I do see the warnings -- is there a C5 function to change the level of warnings that actually appear?]

#4 - 22 Mar 2014 10:57 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 20 to 70

I think this issue is resolved now -- any objections?

The solution is (c): multiline */*...*/* allowed but issues a "pedantic" warning.

Note that I have also added a warning when */** is found inside a */*...*/*; this should avoid nasty surprises arising from nested comments (and hopefully not trigger too many false positives).

#5 - 24 Mar 2014 09:37 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

I have changed the warning level to WS_PEDANTIC, so most users won't see the warnings.

I think that's good (at least until we can fix the GUI...)

[now I have to change my settings so that I do see the warnings -- is there a C5 function to change the level of warnings that actually appear?]

No, I don't think so. Should I add it to **VersionInfo**?

To change your setting in emacs go to the CoCoA menu and click on **Preferences**.

Now I see that I have to make the explanations more verbose, otherwise they get unnoticed (even by me!)

#6 - 24 Mar 2014 10:32 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

Note that I have also added a warning when `/*` is found inside a `/*...*/`; this should avoid nasty surprises arising from nested comments (and hopefully not trigger too many false positives).

Good, that should warn when commenting out a matrix with the ring description. BTW For rings with unique implementation (ZZ, QQ, RingQQt(n)) now it prints the ring with no comment symbol.

#7 - 17 Apr 2014 19:02 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback

- Assignee set to John Abbott

- % Done changed from 70 to 90

- Estimated time set to 4.00 h

The solution chosen is (c)

#8 - 02 May 2014 17:47 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

- % Done changed from 90 to 100

Current approach is satisfactory (& even fairly backward compatible if you don't nest `/*...*/` style comments)

Closing.