CoCoA-5 - Bug #345

Interpreter interrupt delayed confusingly

24 Apr 2013 16:18 - John Abbott

Status: Closed Start date: 24 Apr 2013

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100%

Category: Parser/Interpreter Estimated time: 2.01 ho

Category:Parser/InterpreterEstimated time:2.01 hoursTarget version:CoCoA-5.1.3/4 Jan 2016Spent time:1.70 hour

Description

The following behaved unexpectedly in CoCoA-5 via emacs:

```
factorial(10000); // any computation with a long output
// press C-c C-c while the result is being printed
// the output is *not* interrupted
1+1; // the interrupt is recognised *after* sending this command!
```

Modify interpreter to "flush" any cached interrupts immediately before starting execution of a new command.

Related issues:

Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #713: External libs: interrupting not easy	Closed	18 May 2015
Related to CoCoALib - Feature #714: Interrupt mechanism	Closed	19 May 2015

History

#1 - 24 Apr 2013 16:24 - John Abbott

The interrupt can be delayed longer than I thought!

```
factorial(10000);
// C-c C-c during pinting
factorial(10); // works just fine
1+1; // the interrupt surfaces upon execution of this command!
```

Very strange.

I'll think about what I believe should be the correct behaviour; then I'll have to modify the code...

#2 - 28 Apr 2013 11:14 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

03 May 2024 1/3

Update (2013-04-29) I now think that Giovanni's idea of throwing an exception probably handles correctly all the various cases mentioned here.

Here are some circumstances to consider:

- 1. normal interactive input
- 2. interactive input sent via cut-and-paste
- 3. input from source or SourceRegion
- 4. input being read directly from a file

I suspect that cases (1) and (2) cannot be easily distinguished by the interpreter's source code.

What would it mean if CoCoAInterpreter received a C-c C-c interrupt (a SIGTERM signal) when reading directly from a file?

Would it be acceptable for the code to silently ignore an interrupt on rare occasions?

#3 - 29 Apr 2013 09:16 - John Abbott

Some notes about the source code.

An interpreter object contains a (volatile) data member called controlC. This is set obviously in a signal handler. The value is checked by two functions both called checkForInterrupts. I'm not yet sure why there are two of these; perhaps interrupts are allowing during parsing (might be appropriate if an input expression is huge).

#4 - 02 Apr 2014 17:33 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Target version set to CoCoA-5.1.0 Easter14

#5 - 09 Apr 2014 17:13 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.1.0 Easter14 to CoCoA-5.?.?

#6 - 26 Jun 2015 08:23 - Anna Maria Bigatti

Is this a possible solution?

The interpreter checks //before starting a computation// for (un-caught) interruption and gives a suitable error message ("found pending interrupt signal"?).

#7 - 26 Jun 2015 11:01 - John Abbott

I have found this line at Interpreter.C:2740

this->controlC = false;

It appears to be executed only for the C5 IDE; maybe it should always be executed?

03 May 2024 2/3

#8 - 26 Jun 2015 11:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti



I have found this line at Interpreter.C:2740

It appears to be executed only for the C5 IDE; maybe it should always be executed?

Sounds promising!

#9 - 26 Jun 2015 17:55 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 100
- Estimated time set to 2.01 h

moving the line seems to have solved the problem of "pending interrupt".

#10 - 04 Dec 2017 11:45 - Redmine Admin

- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.?.? to CoCoA-5.1.3/4 Jan 2016

03 May 2024 3/3