

## CoCoALib - Feature #320

### PPMonoid pseudo-ctors without symbol names

21 Feb 2013 17:50 - John Abbott

<b>Status:</b>	Rejected	<b>Start date:</b>	21 Feb 2013
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	John Abbott	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	New Function	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	CoCoALib-0.9953	<b>Spent time:</b>	1.00 hour
<b>Description</b>			
Here are 3 proposals for new PPMonoid pseudo-ctor signatures:			
<pre>PPMonoid NewPPMonoid(const PPOrdering&amp; ord); PPMonoid NewPPMonoid(const MachineInt&amp; nvars, const PPOrdering&amp; ord); PPMonoid NewPPMonoid(const MachineInt&amp; nvars, const PPOrderingCtor&amp; ord);</pre>			
Is the second pseudo-ctor useful? The PPOrdering already knows the number of indets it expects!			
<b>NB</b> This idea was buried in issue <a href="#">#156</a> .			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to CoCoALib - Feature #812: PPMonoid pseudo-ctor without explicit ord...		<b>New</b>	<b>23 Nov 2015</b>
Related to CoCoALib - Design #1223: NewPolyRing default indet names		<b>Closed</b>	<b>02 Sep 2018</b>

## History

### #1 - 21 Feb 2013 17:53 - John Abbott

What would we want these pseudo-ctors to do?

1. create a PPMonoid using anonymous symbols
2. create a PPMonoid using named symbols (e.g. x[1] upto x[n])

Anna thinks (2) is better because it corresponds to what we do when creating a new sparse poly ring without specifying the names.

### #2 - 26 Feb 2013 17:40 - John Abbott

- Category set to New Function
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- Target version set to CoCoALib-0.9953

John and Anna do not yet agree on what names should be given to the indets in a PPM created by these fns: John prefers (1), Anna prefers (2).

It is not especially onerous to achieve the behaviours (1) and (2) explicitly: for instance

```
NewPPMonoid(NewSymbols(n), ChosenOrdering); -- anonymous symbols
NewPPMonoid(SymbolRange("x",1,n), ChosenOrdering); -- symbols x[1..n]
```

John now proposes that this issue be **rejected** because:

- it offers little saving over existing ways of creating PPMs

- the precise meaning is ambiguous (J & A have different expectations)

**#3 - 05 Mar 2013 18:16 - John Abbott**

- *Status changed from In Progress to Rejected*

Both Anna and John think this issue should be rejected.

**#4 - 02 Sep 2018 21:33 - John Abbott**

- *Related to Design #1223: NewPolyRing default indet names added*