CoCoALib - Bug #1779

Radical error with lex (again)

05 Feb 2024 17:57 - Anna Maria Bigatti

| Status: | Closed | Start date: | 05 Feb 2024 | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | | |
| Assignee: | Anna Maria Bigatti | % Done: | 100% | |
| Category: | Various | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour | |
| Target version: | CoCoALib-0.99850 | Spent time: | 3.25 hours | |
| Description | | | | |
| This problem resurfaced now that radical is in C++. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <pre>/**/ use QQ[x,y],lex; /**/ I := ideal(x*y +x, x^2 +x); /**/ radI := radical(I);> triggered error > ERROR: Ring is not standard graded > [CoCoALib] dim</pre> | | | | |
| I'm very grateful to test/exbugs and to its reference to redmine with the solution ;-) | | | | |
| Related issues: | | | | |
| Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #1577: radical: lex order, ERROR: Ring is not stand | | | Closed | 15 Feb 2021 |
| Related to CoCoALib - Slug #1646: radical: could be more clever | | | Closed | 17 Jan 2022 |
| Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1780: radical for ideals in SparsePolyRing: c | | | Closed | 06 Feb 2024 |
| Related to CoCoALib - Support #1782: Make CoCoALib test file for radical | | | New | 23 Feb 2024 |
| | | | | |

History

#1 - 05 Feb 2024 17:58 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Bug #1577: radical: lex order, ERROR: Ring is not standard graded added

#2 - 05 Feb 2024 17:58 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Subject changed from Radical error with lex to Radical error with lex (again)

#3 - 05 Feb 2024 18:32 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- % Done changed from 0 to 30

first bug fixed. New bug:

/**/ use ZZ/(5)[x,y,z]; /**/ SetVerbosityLevel(99); /**/ radI := radical(ideal(x^2+z,y+z)); --> gave error in factorizer --> ERROR: Poly must be non-constant --> [CoCoALib] UnivariateIndetIndex

in the code there is

factorization<RingElem> Q = factor(one(RingOf(I))); // sichtbar machen

which is a trick. Investigating why and how to avoid it

#4 - 05 Feb 2024 19:00 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Slug #1646: radical: could be more clever added

#5 - 05 Feb 2024 21:29 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress

It would be easy to allow the factorize to return a result if the input is a non-zero constant. I suppose I made it trigger an error because it is fairly pointless factorizing a constant. Should I change the code to allow factorizing a constant?

UPDATE after skype discussion, we have decided to leave factorizing a deg 0 poly as an error

#6 - 06 Feb 2024 09:02 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Feature #1780: radical for ideals in SparsePolyRing: code in C++ added

#7 - 06 Feb 2024 10:12 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

It would be easy to allow the factorize to return a result if the input is a non-zero constant. I suppose I made it trigger an error because it is fairly pointless factorizing a constant. Should I change the code to allow factorizing a constant?

Right now I'm chasing another problem. But I'll try to understand why there was that line, and then see whether that initialization made sense in that context.

#8 - 06 Feb 2024 16:47 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

- % Done changed from 30 to 80

Anna Maria Bigatti wrote:

John Abbott wrote:

It would be easy to allow the factorize to return a result if the input is a non-zero constant. I suppose I made it trigger an error because it is fairly pointless factorizing a constant. Should I change the code to allow factorizing a constant?

I don't know why there was that initialization. Now I call directly

factorization<RingElem> Q = SqFreeFactor(G); // redmine #1779.#3

And I haven't met any problem with the tests I have.

It seems all fine.

I have another set of tests (CoCoALib tests) which need some polishing. Then I'll close this issue.

#9 - 23 Feb 2024 11:39 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Support #1782: Make CoCoALib test file for radical added

#10 - 23 Feb 2024 11:41 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- % Done changed from 80 to 90

I made a new issue for implementing and running the tests. Closing this one ... hoping non errors will come from the tests.

#11 - 23 Feb 2024 12:13 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 90 to 80

#12 - 23 Feb 2024 12:28 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 80 to 100