CoCoA-5 - Feature #1718

FactorINT with time-out

16 Dec 2022 17:04 - John Abbott

Status: Closed Start date: 16 Dec 2022

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100%

Category:RenamingEstimated time:4.10 hoursTarget version:CoCoA-5.4.2Spent time:4.15 hours

Description

I have found it useful to be able to impose a time-out on FactorINT calls.

I already have a first impl which seems to pass some basic tests.

What should the fn be called? The prototype is FactorINT_TimeOut

I note that we already have GBasisTimeout: no underscore, and "o" is small.

We also already have FactorINT_TrialDiv and FactorINT_PollardRho.

So there is some inconsistency in fn naming!

Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Bug #1719: FactorINT has got worse Closed 18 Dec 2022

History

#1 - 16 Dec 2022 17:07 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

My first thought was to let FactorINT accept an optional second arg: this is more-or-less what the CoCoALib impl does.

For a prototype it seemed simpler to introduce a new fn; and I think it is clearer to read FactorINT_TimeOut(N,20) rather than FactorINT(N,20).

Comments? Opinions? Suggestions?

#2 - 18 Dec 2022 22:24 - John Abbott

- Related to Bug #1719: FactorINT has got worse added

#3 - 01 Jan 2023 12:38 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 80

Implemented (but see also issue #1719).

Remaining question: fn name, currently FactorINT_TimeOut (see discussion in main descr)

#4 - 09 Mar 2023 22:39 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Resolved to Feedback
- % Done changed from 80 to 90

13 May 2024 1/2

No one objected to **FactorINT_TimeOut**.

I wonder if **GBasisTimeout** should be renamed to **GBasis_TimeOut** -- what do you think?

#5 - 14 Mar 2023 21:36 - John Abbott

I think I prefer with the underscore... not entirely sure why. Should I change **GBasisTimeout** to **GBasis_TimeOut**?

#6 - 14 Mar 2023 21:58 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

I think I prefer with the underscore... not entirely sure why. Should I change **GBasisTimeout** to **GBasis_TimeOut**?

I agree. But make it obsolescent (not just rename it)

#7 - 14 Mar 2023 22:29 - John Abbott

Do you prefer **GBasis_TimeOut** or **GBasis_Timeout**? (and then analogously for **FactorINT_TimeOut**)

#8 - 22 Dec 2023 19:46 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 90 to 100
- Estimated time set to 4.10 h

I have decided to disable FactorINT_timeout because

- FactorINT now auto times-out after about 20s (since it is very unlikely that more factors will be found in a reasonable amount of extra time)
- I cannot really think of any good use-case for FactorINT_timeout in CoCoA-5
- anyone who wants to factorize "tough" integers should use some other software -- it's not CoCoA's forte!

The question about the suffix remains. Are fn names with underscores handled correctly in the documentation (esp. LaTeX)?

13 May 2024 2/2