# CoCoALib - Bug #1705

## ProgressReporter: prepare for new loop?

24 Oct 2022 15:15 - John Abbott

Status: In Progress Start date: 24 Oct 2022

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 80%

Category: Improving Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version: CoCoALib-0.99880 Spent time: 1.45 hour

### **Description**

I have a program with 3 loops, and would like to have progress info about each loop.

The 1st loop is very fast; the 2nd loop is quite a bit slower; and the 3rd loop is slower still.

All 3 loops do the same number of iterations.

My idea was to use a single ProgressReporter object for all 3 loops, but it does not report anything for the slower loops.

Fix this!

#### History

#### #1 - 24 Oct 2022 15:18 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

A likely cause is that the frequency of proper time checks is too low (because of the very fast iters of the 1st loop).

Two obvious possible fixes:

- (A) impose a lower upper bound on the frequency between proper checks
- (B) offer a PrepareForNewLoop function (similar to CpuTimeLimit)

At the moment I prefer **(B)** but its does require cooperation from the user. Maybe I should do both?

Or is the cause other than what I believe?

### #2 - 03 Nov 2022 14:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti

John Abbott wrote:

A likely cause is that the frequency of proper time checks is too low (because of the very fast iters of the 1st loop).

Two obvious possible fixes:

- (A) impose a lower upper bound on the frequency between proper checks
- (B) offer a PrepareForNewLoop function (similar to CpuTimeLimit)

At the moment I prefer **(B)** but its does require cooperation from the user. Maybe I should do both?

Or is the cause other than what I believe?

09 May 2024 1/2

#### #3 - 04 Nov 2022 13:45 - John Abbott

- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 50

I have revised the impl -- it now works better.

Much of this code is quite similar to CpuTimeLimit.

The common part should be abstracted.

#### #4 - 10 Mar 2023 17:40 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99850 to CoCoALib-0.99880
- % Done changed from 50 to 80

#### #5 - 21 Mar 2023 05:27 - John Abbott

I am not so happy with the name **myPrepareForLoop**. Is there a better name?

### #6 - 25 Apr 2024 21:40 - John Abbott

Maybe just **myReset**? Or is that too vague? It is short, which I like. So far we have been using myPrepareForNewLoop for over a year... well, maybe it has not been used much?

09 May 2024 2/2