# CoCoA-5 - Design #1697

## Specifying BOOST in configure

18 Aug 2022 14:37 - John Abbott

Status: Closed Start date: 18 Aug 2022 **Priority:** Normal Due date: Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100% Category: Cleaning **Estimated time:** 4.49 hours Target version: CoCoA-5.4.2 Spent time: 4.45 hours

## **Description**

The code handling the BOOST libraries in configure is an "unholy mess".

If the user specifies no BOOST option then configure tries to search for BOOST.

I am now inclined to simplify this:

- if no BOOST option is specified then compilation is without BOOST
- new configure option --with-boost which assumes a standard system installation.
- the old option to specify where the BOOST libraries are remains (in case not a standard system installation)

This new approach should allow me to simplify the configure script... I hope!

#### Related issues:

Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #1695: boost-find-lib.sh	Closed	16 Aug 2022
Related to CoCoA-5 - Design #1696: Which BOOST libs are actually needed?	Closed	18 Aug 2022
Related to CoCoALib - Support #1700: boost_1_80_0	Closed	14 Oct 2022
Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1360: configure script: add flags for "only co	Closed	01 Nov 2019

#### **History**

## #1 - 18 Aug 2022 14:38 - John Abbott

- Related to Bug #1695: boost-find-lib.sh added

# #2 - 18 Aug 2022 14:38 - John Abbott

- Related to Design #1696: Which BOOST libs are actually needed? added

### #3 - 22 Aug 2022 16:59 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

In a sense there is no difference between --with-boost and --also-build-CoCoA5 (except that one is clearly boolean, while the other can specify where to find the lib)

Mmmm.

## #4 - 15 Sep 2022 14:55 - John Abbott

- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 50

After discussions with Anna, we will try a new configure option --only-cocoalib (which supplants --no-boost). If --only-cocoalib is not specified then BOOST is assumed to be system-wide installed unless --with-boost=XXX is specified.

Specifying **--only-cocoalib** and a BOOST or READLINE option will give an error (currently) [maybe a warning would be better? This would allow easier testing perhaps?]

10 May 2024 1/3

I have modified configure; it seems fine on my Linux box.

#### #5 - 14 Oct 2022 10:18 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Support #1700: boost\_1\_80\_0 added

#### #6 - 14 Oct 2022 10:26 - Anna Maria Bigatti

I would change --with-boost with --with-boost-hdrs or --with-boost-incdir (or similar) to highlight that for boost we point to the include dir, instead of passing the library file (as it is for all other external libraries)

"--with-boost-hdrs=/Users/XXXXXXXXXX/boost\_1\_80\_0/include/"

[I use a minimal personal installation of boost for experimental purposes]

#### #7 - 14 Oct 2022 10:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti

It seems that -Bstatic works only for linux

```
CoCoAInterpreter: linking everything together
ld: unknown option: -Bstatic
clang: error: linker command failed with exit code 1 (use -v to see invocation)
make[2]: *** [CoCoAInterpreter] Error 1
```

I changed BOOST\_LDLIBS=-WI,-Bstatic -lboost\_filesystem -WI,-Bdynamic into BOOST\_LDLIBS=-lboost\_filesystem and my compilation goes a little further

## #8 - 23 Nov 2022 17:25 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- % Done changed from 50 to 90
- Estimated time set to 2.99 h

Anna: I think this issue no longer exists with the new/revised configuration system (scripts). Correct? If so, please close.

## #9 - 23 Nov 2022 17:33 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #1360: configure script: add flags for "only cocoalib" or "both cocoalib and cocoa5" (for boost) added

#### #10 - 14 Dec 2022 22:33 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

10 May 2024 2/3

- % Done changed from 90 to 100
- Estimated time changed from 2.99 h to 4.49 h

I have made a major revision to doc/txt/ExternalLibs-BOOST.txt I think it is now up-to-date.

Closing.

10 May 2024 3/3