CoCoALib - Support #1672

Documentation: a good model to follow?

15 Mar 2022 12:01 - John Abbott

Status:	New	**Start date:**	15 Mar 2022
Priority:	Normal	**Due date:**	
Assignee:		**% Done:**	0%
Category:	Documentation	**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:	CoCoALib-0.99900	**Spent time:**	0.20 hour

Description

I found the following link which claim that Arch Linux doc is particularly well written:
https://www.theregister.com/2022/03/15/arch_linux_20/

Can we learn something from this?

Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Design #1783: Code & doc structure: one-big-file or ma...	**In Progress**	**24 Feb 2024**

History

#1 - 16 Feb 2024 09:33 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99850 to CoCoALib-0.99900

Here is a list of features it would be nice to offer in the CoCoALib documentation:

- cross-references (xrefs)
- smaller and/or more structured HTML pages (some are getting long)
- C++ code excerpts -- I know we have links to example programs, but often the interesting part is just a handful of lines in a longish file. I quite like the way cppreference is organized -- it is good for looking things up; maybe not so good as a "bedtime read"
- what format(s) do we want to offer the documentation in? Currently the source is **.txt** files which are converted to HTML and LaTeX/PDF -- does anyone use the PDF version? Are there "hyperlinks" in the PDF file?
- I think we have done a pretty good job with the doc for CoCoA-5. What can we learn from that? Could we mimic the features there in HTML? (most of them, I think so)

#2 - 01 Mar 2024 09:36 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Design #1783: Code & doc structure: one-big-file or many-small-files? added