

CoCoALib - Slug #1646

radical: could be more clever

17 Jan 2022 12:07 - John Abbott

Status:	Closed	Start date:	17 Jan 2022
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	John Abbott	% Done:	100%
Category:	Maths Bugs	Estimated time:	1.33 hour
Target version:	CoCoALib-0.99800	Spent time:	1.30 hour
Description			
Who would have predicted the following behaviour?			
<pre>/**/ radical(ideal(x^2, x-1, y^2)); ideal(x^2, x -1, y^2)</pre>			
It is not wrong, but could be more helpful			
Related issues:			
Related to CoCoALib - Design #1647: Suppress zero from ideal generators? Det...		Closed	20 Jan 2022
Related to CoCoALib - Bug #1779: Radical error with lex (again)		Closed	05 Feb 2024
Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1780: radical for ideals in SparsePolyRing: c...		Closed	06 Feb 2024

History

#1 - 17 Jan 2022 13:48 - John Abbott

- Category set to Maths Bugs

- Target version set to CoCoALib-0.99800

Bug originally reported by Florian Walsh. Also:

```
use QQ[x,y];  
radical(ideal(x^2, x-x, y^2)); --> error about 0 poly!?
```

#2 - 20 Jan 2022 19:14 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 10

The source code for radical is still in package radical.ckpg5.

If the ideal is 0-dim then the C++ function **radical_tmp** is called.

This is defined in SparsePolyOps-ideal.C near line 1010.

If the ideal is 0-dim then the work is done by radical_0dim which is defined in the same file around line 980. This in turn delegates to radical_0dimDRL if the ideal already has a GBasis, or else a copy is made in ring with DegRevLex before delegating.

The actual work is then done by a member function (?!?) called myRadical_dimDRL with a comment that the mem fn "behaves differently".

Oha!

Now the source is in SparsePolyOps-ideal-ZeroDim.C around line 340.

#3 - 20 Jan 2022 19:31 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 10 to 70

The problem was that myGBasisByHomog tried to homogenize all generators without checking whether they are zero.

I have added a simple check, and now it seems to work as hoped/desired.

I do wonder whether whether ideals should automatically suppress zeroes from the list of generators. This should probably be a new issue -- see issue [#1647](#).

#4 - 20 Jan 2022 19:35 - John Abbott

- Related to Design #1647: Suppress zero from ideal generators? Detect 1 and simplify generators? added

#5 - 20 Jan 2022 20:28 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 70 to 80

I have checked in my changes (and asked Anna to check them).
I have added tests (CoCoA-5 exbugs... currently radical is not really available from CoCoALib).

#6 - 21 Jan 2022 10:38 - John Abbott

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed
- % Done changed from 80 to 100
- Estimated time set to 1.33 h

#7 - 24 Jan 2022 09:07 - Anna Maria Bigatti

I think that, along the same line -- actually in the previous line ;-) we should change

```
/**/ radical(ideal(zero(CurrentRing), zero(CurrentRing)));  
ideal(0, 0)
```

#8 - 05 Feb 2024 19:00 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Bug #1779: Radical error with lex (again) added

#9 - 06 Feb 2024 09:03 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Feature #1780: radical for ideals in SparsePolyRing: code in C++ added