CoCoA-5 - Design #1533

RingElem and IndetSymbols not compatible

06 Nov 2020 13:34 - John Abbott

Status:In ProgressStart date:06 Nov 2020

Priority: Low Due date:

Assignee: % Done: 10%

Category:enhancing/improvingEstimated time:0.00 hourTarget version:CoCoA-5.4.2Spent time:0.30 hour

Description

The functions RingElem and IndetSymbols are sort-of-inverses; but we could perhaps do better?

```
P ::= QQ[a,b[1]];
L := IndetSymbols(P); --> list of RECORDs
RingElem(P, L[1]); --> ERROR
RingElem(P, concat([L[1].head], L[1].indices)); --> OK
```

The last line above is a bit ugly! Should we make it simpler/neater?

Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1532: Convenient RingHom pseudo-ctors In Progress 06 Nov 2020

History

#1 - 06 Nov 2020 13:35 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #1532: Convenient RingHom pseudo-ctors added

#2 - 06 Nov 2020 13:54 - John Abbott

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Priority changed from Normal to Low
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

#3 - 06 Nov 2020 13:56 - John Abbott

The obvious convenient solution would be to allow RingElem to accept the RECORD produced by IndetSymbols. Might that cause problems?

11 May 2024 1/1