CoCoALib - Slug #1517

RandomLinearForm

23 Oct 2020 16:21 - John Abbott

Status:	Closed	Start date:	23 Oct 2020		
Priority:	Low	Due date:			
Assignee:	John Abbott	% Done:	100%		
Category:	Improving	Estimated time:	0.90 hour		
Target version:	CoCoALib-0.99800	Spent time:	0.90 hour	ur	
Description		I			
The profiler tells me	that RandomLinearForm spends	most (almost all!) of its time in ope	erator+=.		
If the indets are orde	red nicely (how can we know this	?) then it may be better to use Pu	ishFront?		
Anyway, RandomLin	earForm is disappointingly slow in	n the test example from issue <u>#15</u>	514 (with 1000 i	ndets)	
Related issues:					
Related to CoCoALib - Feature #1169: New function: RandomLinearForm (CoCoALib)			Closed	19 Mar 2018	
Related to CoCoALib - Bug #1208: New function: Threadsafe RandomLinearForm (New	02 Aug 2018	

History

#1 - 23 Oct 2020 16:21 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #1169: New function: RandomLinearForm (CoCoALib) added

#2 - 23 Oct 2020 16:21 - John Abbott

- Related to Bug #1208: New function: Threadsafe RandomLinearForm (CoCoALib) added

#3 - 23 Oct 2020 16:22 - John Abbott

- Priority changed from Normal to Low

It could be that the problem is simply copying lots of PPs (each occupying 4000 bytes). Could the memory manager be a bottleneck?

It would be nice to make it faster ...

Source code: SparsePolyOps-RingElem.C around line 148

STRANGE operator+= seems to be calling CoCoA::RingDistrMPolyInIFpPPImpI::myAdd which would copy the whole poly, right?

#4 - 29 Oct 2020 14:43 - John Abbott

- Description updated
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

The empirical complexity appears to be quadratic. Here is my test:

```
nvars := 40000;
use P ::= ZZ/(29641)[x[1..nvars]];
t0 := CpuTime();
```

l := RandomLinearForm(P); TimeFrom(t0);

With nvars=20000 the time was about 1.15s; with nvars=40000 the time was about 4.4s.

With our dense repr for ordvs, the memory consumption is also essentially quadratic.

It might be faster with PPMonoidSparse... I wonder what state that code is in?

#5 - 04 Nov 2020 13:35 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 50

I presume the timings in comment 4 were with unsigned short as SmallExponent_t (otherwise CoCoA has suddenly become slower by a factor of 2).

RandomLinearForm is definitely faster in CoCoALib, and the time taken is super-linear in the number of indets; but I cannot go far, because with 50000 indets the process dies spontaneously after reaching about 16Gb RAM -- why???

Anyway, since the memory requirement is quadratic there is no hope to make it much faster... perhaps it is not that important anyway?

#6 - 04 Feb 2022 21:31 - John Abbott

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- % Done changed from 50 to 100
- Estimated time set to 0.90 h

The code works. If there is a real use-case where the low speed is a problem then we can create a new issue. I think we can just close this... I doubt it is that important (and it just clutters up the list of open issues).