CoCoA-5 - Design #1495

Package exporting nothing?

02 Oct 2020 11:30 - Anna Maria Bigatti

Status: Closed Start date: 02 Oct 2020

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: John Abbott % Done: 100%

Category:enhancing/improvingEstimated time:3.51 hoursTarget version:CoCoA-5.4.0Spent time:3.45 hours

Description

It may be useful to make a package exporting no function: currently it gives a warning.

Choose an explicit syntax to make it safe and silent.

Related issues:

Related to CoCoA-5 - Design #1477: Packages: questions from Andraschko

Closed
06 Aug 2020

Related to CoCoA-5 - Support #1498: Export many names or just one?

Closed
03 Oct 2020

Related to CoCoA-5 - Design #1501: testing for syntax errors

Rejected
07 Oct 2020

History

#1 - 02 Oct 2020 11:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Design #1477: Packages: questions from Andraschko added

#2 - 02 Oct 2020 11:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Category set to enhancing/improving
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee set to John Abbott
- Target version set to CoCoA-5.4.0
- % Done changed from 0 to 10
- Estimated time set to 3.00 h

From <u>#1477</u> point (C)

proposed syntax export skip;

#3 - 02 Oct 2020 14:03 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 30

I have written a first prototype. It compiles and seems to do the right thing, but I must do some more testing. The lexer+parser+interpreter is "self-documenting" :-(

#4 - 02 Oct 2020 14:22 - John Abbott

Here are the tests we should make:

- (1) package with no export commands (warning, as now)
- (2) package with just export skip (now warning, exports nothing)
- (3) package with export name(s) followed by export skip (error)
- (4) package with export skip followed by more export commands
- (5) export followed by several names incl skip (or even skip appearing more than once)
- (6) similar to above but export being called "too late" in the file

29 Apr 2024 1/3

#5 - 02 Oct 2020 21:05 - John Abbott

Prototype still needs to be cleaned... not today!

#6 - 03 Oct 2020 17:08 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 30 to 50

I am writing tests for package, and have a question.

If the input contains something like export abc,skip;,

an error is reported (cannot "skip" and export names).

My current impl then goes on to check that the fn abc is actually defined (if not, a second error is generated).

If the input is **export skip,abc**; then there is no check that abc is actually defined.

Actually, in this case the error mesg says that it expected a semicolon after skip (which is correct, but perhaps not entirely helpful?)

Comments? Opinions?

#7 - 03 Oct 2020 17:51 - John Abbott

- Related to Support #1498: Export many names or just one? added

#8 - 07 Oct 2020 12:04 - John Abbott

- Related to Design #1501: testing for syntax errors added

#9 - 16 Oct 2020 15:42 - John Abbott

I seem to have lost the test file I used for the revised package parser. Here is a short version:

```
package $pkg1; export skip; endpackage; -- correct
package $pkg2; endpackage; -- warning: no exports
package $pkg3; export skip; export abc; endpackage; -- error: cannot export after skip
package $pkg4; export abc; export skip; endpackage; -- error: cannot export skip after exporting names
package $pkg5; export skip; export skip; endpackage; -- error cannot export after skip
!!OBSOLETE!! package $pkg6; export abc, skip; endpackage; -- error unexpected keyword
!!OBSOLETE!! package $pkg7; export skip, abc; endpackage; -- error expected semicolon
```

PS when an error occurs, it seems that we do not need endpackage... at least the keywprd endpackage triggers another error (not so helpful)

NOTE no longer allow many names after export -- see issue #1498

#10 - 16 Oct 2020 15:48 - John Abbott

29 Apr 2024 2/3

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- % Done changed from 50 to 90

I have checked in my code (after some ad hoc testing).

I have slightly changed what we agreed via skype (so that the inpl would be simpler and clearer, but the error mesgs should still be fairly helpful).

#11 - 08 Jan 2021 11:51 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 90 to 100
- Estimated time changed from 3.00 h to 3.51 h

Tested. OK.

29 Apr 2024 3/3