

## CoCoA-5 - Bug #1406

### Poor memory management?

28 Jan 2020 15:56 - John Abbott

<b>Status:</b>	New	<b>Start date:</b>	28 Jan 2020
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	bug	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	CoCoA-5.4.4	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.45 hour
<b>Description</b>			
I tried something like the following:			
<pre>H := 10000; foreach C in (0..H)&gt;&lt;(-H..H) do   // something endforeach; println "Starting loop 2"; for i := 1 to 1000000 do   // something slow endfor;</pre>			
The big cartesian product in the first loop consumed about 16Gb RAM on my machine. Strangely, that memory allocation seemed to be held even after the first loop had finished.			
Why? This is undesirable!			

### History

#### #1 - 19 Mar 2021 14:46 - John Abbott

Here is a concrete example... a bit faster, but still sufficient to exhibit the problem.

```
H := 5000;
foreach C in (0..H)><(-H..H) do
  // something
endforeach;
println "Starting loop 2";
for i := 1 to 30000 do
  if factorial(i) = 120 then println i; endif;
endfor;
```

Note that, according to top, during 1st loop memory increases a bit beyond 4Gbytes, but during the 2nd loop it drops to about 3.7Gbytes (rather than 0 Gbytes). Why?

## #2 - 19 Mar 2021 14:47 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.4.0 to CoCoA-5.4.2

## #3 - 19 Mar 2021 15:47 - John Abbott

This is what valgrind produced:

```
With CoCoALib and external libraries GMP, Normaliz, Frobbly, Gfan, CDD, MathSAT
indent(VersionInfo(), 2); -- for information about this version
# H := 5000;
# foreach C in (0..H)><(-H..H) do
[[foreach]] # // something
[[foreach]] # endforeach;
==14306== Warning: set address range perms: large range [0x1a627f040, 0x1c627f040) (undefined)
==14306== Warning: set address range perms: large range [0xde27e028, 0xee27e058) (noaccess)
==14306== Warning: set address range perms: large range [0x1a627f028, 0x1c627f058) (noaccess)
# println "Starting loop 2";
Starting loop 2
# for i := 1 to 30000 do
[[For]] # if factorial(i) = 120 then println i; endif;
[[For]] # endfor;
5
# ==14306==
==14306== HEAP SUMMARY:
==14306==    in use at exit: 134,751 bytes in 217 blocks
==14306== total heap usage: 150,887,968 allocs, 150,887,751 frees, 8,190,471,597 bytes allocated
==14306==
==14306== LEAK SUMMARY:
==14306==    definitely lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==14306==    indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==14306==    possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==14306==    still reachable: 134,751 bytes in 217 blocks
==14306==    suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==14306== Rerun with --leak-check=full to see details of leaked memory
==14306==
==14306== For lists of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -s
==14306== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

Does not help to isolate the problem. I wonder what the 135k of still reachable memory is?  
Maybe I should try with MEMPOOL\_DEBUG? But not today.

**#4 - 15 Jan 2024 19:51 - John Abbott**

- *Target version changed from CoCoA-5.4.2 to CoCoA-5.4.4*