CoCoA-5 - Feature \#1271
Allow Interruption of printing?
16 Apr 2019 17:43 - John Abbott

| Status: | In Progress | Start date: | 16 A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: |  |  |
| Assignee: |  | \% Done: | 10\% |  |
| Category: | enhancing/improving | Estimated time: | 0.00 |  |
| Target version: | CoCoA-5.?.? | Spent time: | 0.80 |  |
| Description |  |  |  |  |
| Inside emacs, if you print out a very long list then it takes ages before you can use emacs/CoCoA-5 again. |  |  |  |  |
| Consider allowing interruption of printing (at least for potentially large objects). |  |  |  |  |
| Related issues: |  |  |  |  |
| Related to CoCoA-5 - Design \#610: Variable It: assign before or after printing |  |  | New | 02 Sep 2014 |

## History

\#1-16 Apr 2019 17:49-John Abbott
I have a tried a first approach, but it did no work as I would have liked...
What I tried:

- in Interpreter.C around line 2453
- inserted CoCoA::CheckForInterrupt("Printing LIST");
- effect: interrupt was recognized, and stopped printing, but resulted in a "catastrophic error" (process exited with code 1) after printing ***ERROR*** UNCAUGHT UNKNOWN EXCEPTION
- printed error seems to have come from Main.C around line 354

Not sure why the exception was not caught.

## \#2-17 Apr 2019 08:26-Anna Maria Bigatti

I agree this would be very useful.
Strange for the error!

## \#3-17 Apr 2019 10:17-John Abbott

- Description updated
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- \% Done changed from 0 to 10

Which printing commands should allow themselves to be interrupted?

- lists
- matrices
- ideals (?)

I think probably not polynomials (nor very large integers):

- for large integers it would be tricky because they are internally converted to a string, which is then printed with a single C++ fn call
- for polynomials we could perhaps check for interrupts between printing terms, but I am concerned that too many checks for interrupts might have
a perceptible impact on speed (though the checks should be quite cheap); also note that polynomials can have a "recursive structure".
I had thought about checking for interrupts, say, every 100 terms in a poly. But then it is possible to create a large recursive polynomial where no check is made: consider $\left(1+x+x^{\wedge} 2+\ldots+x^{\wedge} 99\right)^{*}\left(1+y+y^{\wedge} 2+\ldots+y^{\wedge} 99\right)^{*}\left(1+z+z^{\wedge} 2+\ldots+z^{\wedge} 99\right)$ in the ring $Q Q[x][y][z]$.


## \#4 - 04 Mar 2020 22:23 - John Abbott

- Related to Design \#610: Variable It: assign before or after printing added

