# CoCoALib - Design #1242

# C++14: Use type auto where appropriate

08 Feb 2019 21:35 - John Abbott

Status: In Progress Start date: 08 Feb 2019

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: % Done: 40%

Category:TidyingEstimated time:0.00 hourTarget version:CoCoALib-0.99880Spent time:1.40 hour

Description

When we switch to C+11/C++14 consider replacing some explicit type declarations with auto.

Related issues:

Related to CoCoALib - Feature #82: C++11 compatibility questions

Closed 26 Jan 2012

Related to CoCoALib - Design #1225: Move to C++14 (skipping C++11)

Related to CoCoALib - Design #1346: C++14: use the new for loop syntax where ...

In Progress 21 Oct 2019

### History

### #1 - 08 Feb 2019 21:36 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #82: C++11 compatibility questions added

### #2 - 18 Oct 2019 11:15 - John Abbott

- Related to Design #1225: Move to C++14 (skipping C++11) added

### #3 - 18 Oct 2019 11:19 - John Abbott

I must re-read the relevant parts of Meyers's book (Eff. Modern C++). Where should we use auto?

- use **auto** instead of the explicit type for C++ iterators (usu. the explicit type is "unreadable"); but see also Meyers's notes about the new **for** loop syntax
- I suggest not using auto if the explicit type is "simple and easy to read" (e.g. I think I would not use it for vector<RingElem>).
- overall guideline: we should use **auto** to help make the code easier to read and understand

# #4 - 18 Oct 2019 11:23 - John Abbott

It may be helpful to keep a list of source files which have been "done" (this may also include that the file was looked at, but in the end we decided not to use auto anywhere)

- SparsePolyOps-ideal-ZeroDim.C (for const iterator in for loops)
- SparsePolyOps-ideal-monomial.C (for const iterator in for loops)

NOTE: I expect that we would use auto only very rarely in header files...

## #5 - 18 Oct 2019 17:04 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 10

## #6 - 21 Oct 2019 12:06 - Anna Maria Bigatti

09 May 2024 1/2

John Abbott wrote:

•	use auto instead of the explicit type for C++ iterators (usu. the explicit type is "unreadable"); but see also Meyers's notes about the new for
	loop syntax

I have tried the new **for** loop syntax in SparsePolyOps-ideal-monomial.C. It's like a dream come true :-)

### #7 - 21 Oct 2019 12:28 - John Abbott

- Related to Design #1346: C++14: use the new for loop syntax where appropriate (like cocoa's foreach) added

### #8 - 21 Oct 2019 12:29 - John Abbott

I have created a new issue (#1346) about using the new for loop syntax; please put relevant progress reports there :-)

## #9 - 08 Jan 2020 22:55 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99700 to CoCoALib-0.99800

# #10 - 06 Oct 2020 15:39 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99800 to CoCoALib-0.99850

I suggest we work through the source file systematically, and note which ones we have updated to use **auto** (and which are still to do). This will be a long task :-/

Source files which have already been done:

- bool3.C, ApproxPts.C, ApproxPts2.C, assert.C, BigInt.C nothing to do
- apply.C nothing to do (see also #1467)

# #11 - 08 Mar 2023 19:55 - John Abbott

- Target version changed from CoCoALib-0.99850 to CoCoALib-0.99880

## #12 - 22 Apr 2024 20:43 - John Abbott

- DynamicBitset uses iterators explicitly; maybe switch to auto?
- possibly review TmpJB... code too?
- LongRange but see also std::ranges in C++20

# #13 - 22 Apr 2024 21:08 - John Abbott

- % Done changed from 10 to 40

09 May 2024 2/2