## CoCoA-5 - Feature #1174

# package of a function --> of an identifier!

05 Apr 2018 09:26 - Elisa Palezzato

Status: Closed Start date: 05 Apr 2018

Priority: High Due date:

Assignee: Anna Maria Bigatti % Done: 100%

Category: CoCoA-5 function: new Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version: CoCoA-5.4.0 Spent time: 2.75 hours

# **Description**

How to have a function that says in which package is in the input?

Why does not describe have this feature?!

## 2021-01 PackageOf("<name>");

describe cannot know the package, because it receives the evaluated object, and not its name/identifier.

## Related issues:

Related to CoCoA-5 - Feature #346: Describe should indicate package name

Closed 29 Apr 2013

Related to CoCoA-5 - Bug #1200: Package exported function: wrong package name

Closed 27 Jul 2018

Related to CoCoA-5 - Feature #531: Package protected variables should know wh...

Closed 09 Apr 2014

#### History

# #1 - 05 Apr 2018 13:43 - John Abbott

- Related to Feature #346: Describe should indicate package name added

## #2 - 01 Aug 2018 09:37 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Category set to CoCoA-5 function: new
- Assignee set to Anna Maria Bigatti
- Target version set to CoCoA-5.2.4

Waiting for a proper implementation in C++, we can do something like starting.

# #3 - 01 Aug 2018 09:37 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Bug #1200: Package exported function: wrong package name added

#### #4 - 06 Aug 2018 16:17 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.2.4 to CoCoA-5.3.0

## #5 - 01 Oct 2019 14:22 - John Abbott

- Priority changed from Normal to High
- Target version changed from CoCoA-5.3.0 to CoCoA-5.4.0

## #6 - 04 Mar 2020 21:31 - John Abbott

As Anna said in comment 2: the function starting is able to get the information. So we should look there to see how to do it.

#### #7 - 11 Dec 2020 11:48 - John Abbott

Anna has a prototype in coclib.cpkg5. Currently commented out -- how long has it been there??

If it were built-in then it could be used by describe.

26 Apr 2024 1/3

#### #8 - 11 Dec 2020 11:53 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- % Done changed from 0 to 50

## #9 - 15 Jan 2021 08:11 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- % Done changed from 50 to 60

should the name be PackageOf?

I have investigated: belonging to a package (variable) is a property of the variable (VariableSiot), not of the value of the variable.

Now I have written a function in Interpreter.C taking a string (the variable name).

There was a function called findOwner (now FindOwnerPkg) in Interpreter.C in anonymous name space. I used it.

Can I make PackageOf take a variable? will see

## #10 - 15 Jan 2021 08:17 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Related to Feature #531: Package protected variables should know which package protected them added

#### #11 - 15 Jan 2021 08:29 - Anna Maria Bigatti

Example:

```
/**/ anna := starting;
/**/ PackageOf("starting");
$coclib
/**/ PackageOf("anna");
<not in a package>
```

So, to have it working with the variable, not the string with the variable name, the argument should not be evaluated, as it happens for **IsDefined** (only case like that)

#### #12 - 15 Jan 2021 09:07 - John Abbott

Well done! I suggest we accept the version taking a string for the time being (unless you can easily make a fn like IsDefined).

When exactly is it useful to find the package? I think I use it only when debugging (or perhaps if the documentation seems unclear, and I want to see what the code actually does).

Other things which do not evaluate a variable are: describe, (un)protect.

26 Apr 2024 2/3

# #13 - 15 Jan 2021 12:24 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Subject changed from package of a function to package of a function --> of an identifier!

## #14 - 22 Jan 2021 08:31 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Description updated
- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback
- % Done changed from 60 to 90

I'm now convinced that the only exception to the evaluation of the argument of a function should be IsDefined. There is no point in making an exception for such a small function as PackageOf.

So, in conclusion, the only great advantage of PackageOf vs starting is the good name ;-) It is also faster, but it's pretty irrelevant for its tipical use (i.e. not within loops).

## #15 - 29 Jan 2021 15:43 - Anna Maria Bigatti

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 90 to 100

26 Apr 2024 3/3