Approximate Interpolation on Finite Sets of Points

Martin Kreuzer

Fakultät für Informatik und Mathematik

Universität Passau

martin.kreuzer@uni-passau.de

CoCoA School Osnabrück June 14, 2013

Contents

- **1.** The Approximate Interpolation Problem
- 2. Characterizing Exact Border Bases
- 3. Approximate Border Bases
- 4. Computing Approximate Border Bases
- 5. From Approximate to Exact Border Bases
- 6. An Application: Algebraic Oil

1 – The Approximate Interpolation Problem

I am approximately 96.694444% in love with you. Of course, that's just a rough estimate. (Jarod Kintz)

Question 1: Given a finite set of points $\mathbb{X} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in \mathbb{R}$, how can we find a **simple** polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

 $f(p_i) \approx a_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, s ?$

Question 2: What is the precise meaning of "simple" and of $f(p_i) \approx a_i$ here?

Question 3: The polynomial f, if it exists, is not uniquely determined. If $g \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial such that $g(p_i) \approx 0$ for all i, is f + g also a solution of **Problem 1**?

Question 4: When does a polynomial **vanish approximately** at X?

Preliminary Definition. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a given **threshold number**. We say that $f \in P = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ vanishes ε -approximately at X if $|f(p_i)| < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Question 5: What is the structure of the set of all polynomials which vanish ε -approximately at X?

Question 6: Don't all polynomials with very small coefficients vanish ε -approximately at X?

Clearly, we need to measure the **size** of a polynomial. In other words, we need a topology on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Definition 1.1 Let $f = c_1 t_1 + \dots + c_s t_s \in P$, where $c_1, \dots, c_s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_s \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Then the number $||f|| = ||(c_1, \dots, c_s)||$ is called the **(Euclidean) norm** of f.

It is easy to check that this definition turns P into a normed vector space. Now it is reasonable to consider the following condition.

Definition 1.2 Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a given **threshold number**. We say that $f \in P = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ vanishes ε -approximately at X if $|f(p_i)| < \varepsilon \cdot ||f||$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. **Preliminary Definition.** An ideal $I \subseteq P$ is called an ε -approximate vanishing ideal of X if there exists a system of generators $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$ of I such that every f_i vanishes ε -approximately at X for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Question 7: Are there non-trivial ε -approximate vanishing ideals?

A set of ε -approximately vanishing polynomials will almost always generate the **unit ideal**.

Question 8: Are there ε -approximately vanishing polynomials such that **close-by** there exist polynomials defining a set of points?

A positive answer will be given by **approximate border bases**.

2 – Characterizing Exact Border Bases

When they started to prove even the simplest claims, many turned out to be wrong (Bertrand Russell)

 $P = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ polynomial ring over a field K

 $I \subseteq P$ zero-dimensional polynomial ideal (i.e. $\dim_K(P/I) < \infty$)

 $\mathbb{T}^n = \{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_i \ge 0\} \text{ monoid of terms}$

Definition of Border Bases

Definition 2.1 (a) A (finite) set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ is called an **order ideal** if $t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $t' \mid t$ implies $t' \in \mathcal{O}$.

(b) Let \mathcal{O} be an order ideal. The set $\partial \mathcal{O} = (x_1 \mathcal{O} \cup \cdots \cup x_n \mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathcal{O}$ is called the **border** of \mathcal{O} .

(c) Let $\mathcal{O} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_{\mu}\}$ be an order ideal and $\partial \mathcal{O} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{\nu}\}$ its border. A set of polynomials $\{g_1, \ldots, g_{\nu}\} \subset I$ of the form

$$g_j = b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_{ij} t_i$$

with $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_i \in \mathcal{O}$ is called an \mathcal{O} -border prebasis of I.

(d) An \mathcal{O} -border prebasis of I is called an \mathcal{O} -border basis of I if the residue classes of the terms in \mathcal{O} are a K-vector space basis of P/I.



Neighbors

Definition 2.2 Let $b_i, b_j \in \partial \mathcal{O}$ be two distinct border terms.

(a) The border terms b_i and b_j are called **next-door neighbors** if $b_i = x_k b_j$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(b) The border terms b_i and b_j are called **across-the-street** neighbors if $x_k b_i = x_\ell b_j$ for some $k, \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(c) The border terms b_i and b_j are called **neighbors** if they are next-door neighbors or across-the-street neighbors.

(d) The graph whose vertices are the border terms and whose edges are given by the neighbor relation is called the **border web** of \mathcal{O} .

Example 2.3 The border of $\mathcal{O} = \{1, x, y, xy\}$ is $\partial \mathcal{O} = \{x^2, x^2y, xy^2, y^2\}$. Here the border web looks as follows: (x^2, x^2y) and (y^2, xy^2) are next-door neighbor pairs (x^2y, xy^2) is an across-the-street neighbor pair.



Proposition 2.4 The border web is connected.

The Buchberger Criterion for Border Bases

A border prebasis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_\nu\}$ is a border basis if and only if for every neighboring pair (b_i, b_j) of border terms such that $b_i = x_k b_j$ resp. $x_k b_i = x_\ell b_j$ the corresponding **S-polynomial**

 $S_{ij} = g_i - x_k g_j$ resp. $S_{ij} = x_k g_i - x_\ell g_j$

satisfies $NR_G(S_{ij}) = 0$.

Border Bases and Multiplication Matrices

For $r \in \{1, ..., n\}$, we define the *r*-th formal multiplication matrix A_r as follows:

Multiply $t_i \in \mathcal{O}$ by x_r . If $x_r t_i = b_j$ is in the border of \mathcal{O} , rewrite it using the prebasis polynomial $g_j = b_j - \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} c_{kj} t_k$ and put (c_1, \ldots, c_{μ}) into the *i*-th column of A_r . But if $x_r t_i = t_j$ then put the *j*-th unit vector into the *i*-th column of A_r .

Theorem 2.5 The set G is the \mathcal{O} -border basis of I if and only if the formal multiplication matrices commute, i.e. iff

$$A_i A_j = A_j A_i$$
 for $1 \le i < j \le n$.

3 – Approximate Border Bases

Need to approximate a border? Try Approximate Border Bases ! (Anonymous)

$$\mathcal{O} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_\mu\}$$
 order ideal in \mathbb{T}^r

$$\partial \mathcal{O} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_\nu\}$$
 border of \mathcal{O}

Recall that an \mathcal{O} -border prebasis is a set of polynomials $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_{\nu}\}$ such that

$$g_j = b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} c_{ij} t_i$$

where $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$

Definition of Approximate Border Bases

Definition 3.1 Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

An \mathcal{O} -border prebasis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_{\nu}\}$ is called an ε -approximate \mathcal{O} -border basis if the following condition is satisfied:

For every pair (i, j) such that (b_i, b_j) are neighbors, we have $\|\operatorname{NR}_{\mathcal{O},G}(S_{ij})\| < \varepsilon$.

Remark 3.2 If $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_\nu\}$ is an ε -approximate border basis then the point $(c_{11}, \ldots, c_{\mu\nu})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\mu\nu}$ given by its coefficients is **close** to the **border basis scheme**. **Example 3.3** Let $\mathcal{O} = \{1, x, y, xy\}$. Then the set

$$g_1 = x^2 + 0.02xy - 0.01y - 1.01$$
 $g_2 = x^2y + 0.03x - 0.98y$
 $g_3 = xy^2 - 1.02x$ $g_4 = y^2 - 0.99$

is a 0.1-approximate \mathcal{O} -border basis.

For instance, we have $S_{23} = yg_2 - xg_3 = 0.03xy - 0.98y^2 + 1.02x^2$ and $NR_{\mathcal{O},G}(S_{23}) \approx 0.01xy + 0.01y + 0.05$.

The ideal $I = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \rangle$ is the unit ideal, since $g_3 - x g_4 = 0.03x$ shows $-g_1 \equiv 0.01y + 1.01 \pmod{I}$ and $g_4 \equiv 101^2 - 0.99 \pmod{I}$.

4 – Computing Approximate Border Bases

We all know Linux is great... it does infinite loops in 5 seconds. (Linus Torvalds)

Goal: Given a set of (approximate) points $\mathbb{X} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\varepsilon > 0$, find an order ideal \mathcal{O} and an approximate \mathcal{O} -border basis G such that the polynomials in G vanish ε -approximately at the points of \mathbb{X} .

Notice that, in general,

- we have $\#\mathcal{O} \ll \#\mathbb{X}$,
- the ideal $\langle G \rangle$ is the unit ideal.

Theorem 4.1 (The Singular Value Decomposition) Let $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$.

1. There are orthogonal matrices $\mathcal{U} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m,m}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$ and a matrix $\mathcal{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$ of the form $\mathcal{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{ ext{tr}} = \mathcal{U} \cdot egin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D} & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathcal{V}^{ ext{tr}}$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \operatorname{diag}(s_1, \ldots, s_r)$ is a diagonal matrix.

2. In this decomposition, it is possible to achieve $s_1 \ge s_2 \ge \cdots \ge s_r > 0$. The numbers s_1, \ldots, s_r depend only on \mathcal{A} and are called the singular values of \mathcal{A} .

- 3. The number r is the rank of A.
- 4. The matrices \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} have the following interpretation:

first r columns of $\mathcal{U} \equiv ONB$ of the column space of \mathcal{A}

last m - r columns of $\mathcal{U} \equiv ONB$ of the kernel of \mathcal{A}^{tr}

first r columns of $\mathcal{V} \equiv ONB$ of the row space of \mathcal{A}

 \equiv ONB of the column space of \mathcal{A}^{tr}

last n - r columns of $\mathcal{V} \equiv ONB$ of the kernel of \mathcal{A}

Corollary 4.2 Let $\mathcal{A} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ be chosen such that $s_k > \varepsilon \ge s_{k+1}$. Form the matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{U} \widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{V}^{\operatorname{tr}}$ by setting $s_{k+1} = \cdots = s_r = 0$ in \mathcal{S} . The matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is called the singular value truncation of \mathcal{A} .

- 1. We have $\min\{\|\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}\| : \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{B}) \le k\} = \|\mathcal{A} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\| = s_{k+1}$. (Here $\|\cdots\|$ denotes the 2-operator norm of a matrix.)
- 2. The vector subspace apker(A, ε) = ker(A) is the largest dimensional kernel of a matrix whose Euclidean distance from A is at most ε. It is called the ε-approximate kernel of A.
- 3. The last n k columns v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n of \mathcal{V} are an ONB of apker $(\mathcal{A}, \varepsilon)$. They satisfy $||\mathcal{A}v_i|| < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 4.3 (The AVI Algorithm)

The following algorithm computes an approximate border basis of an approximate vanishing ideal of a finite set of points $\mathbb{X} \subseteq [-1, 1]^n$.

- A1 Start with lists $G = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{O} = [1]$, a matrix $\mathcal{M} = (1, \dots, 1)^{\text{tr}} \in \text{Mat}_{s,1}(\mathbb{R})$, and d = 0.
- A2 Increase d by one and let L be the list of all terms of degree d in $\partial \mathcal{O}$, ordered decreasingly w.r.t. σ . If $L = \emptyset$, return the pair (G, \mathcal{O}) and stop. Otherwise, let $L = (t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$.
- A3 Let m be the number of columns of \mathcal{M} . Form the matrix

 $\mathcal{A} = (\operatorname{eval}(t_1), \dots, \operatorname{eval}(t_\ell), \mathcal{M}) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{s,\ell+m}(\mathbb{R}).$

Using its **SVD**, calculate a matrix \mathcal{B} whose column vectors are an ONB of the **approximate kernel** apker(\mathcal{A}, ε).

- A4 Compute the stabilized reduced row echelon form of \mathcal{B}^{tr} with respect to the given τ . The result is a matrix $\mathcal{C} = (c_{ij}) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{k,\ell+m}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $c_{ij} = 0$ for $j < \nu(i)$. Here $\nu(i)$ denotes the column index of the pivot element in the i^{th} row of \mathcal{C} .
- A5 For all $j \in \{1, ..., \ell\}$ such that there exists a $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ with $\nu(i) = j$ (i.e. for the column indices of the pivot elements), append the polynomial

$$c_{ij}t_j + \sum_{j'=j+1}^{\ell} c_{ij'}t_{j'} + \sum_{j'=\ell+1}^{\ell+m} c_{ij'}u_{j'}$$

to the list G, where $u_{j'}$ is the $(j' - \ell)^{\text{th}}$ element of \mathcal{O} .

A6 For all $j = \ell, \ell - 1, ..., 1$ such that the j^{th} column of C contains no pivot element, append the term t_j as a new first element to \mathcal{O} and append the column $\text{eval}(t_j)$ as a new first column to \mathcal{M} .

- A7 Using the SVD of \mathcal{M} , calculate a matrix \mathcal{B} whose column vectors are an ONB of apker $(\mathcal{M}, \varepsilon)$.
- A8 Repeat steps A4 A7 until \mathcal{B} is empty. Then continue with step A2.

This algorithm returns the following results:

(a) The set \$\mathcal{O} = {t_1, ..., t_μ}\$ contains an order ideal of terms which is strongly linearly independent on \$\mathbb{X}\$, i.e. such that there is no unitary polynomial in \$\langle \mathcal{O}_K\$ which vanishes \$\varepsilon\$-approximately on \$\mathbb{X}\$.
(b) The set \$G\$ is a \$\delta\$-approximate \$\mathcal{O}\$-border basis. (An explicit \$\mathcal{O}\$) and \$\mathcal{O}\$.

bound for δ can be given.)

Theorem 4.4 (The ABM Algorithm)

Let $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite set of points, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the following instructions.

- **B1** Start with lists $G = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{O} = [1]$, a matrix $\mathcal{M} = (1, \ldots, 1)^{\text{tr}} \in \text{Mat}_{s,1}(\mathbb{R})$, and d = 0.
- **B2** Increase d by one and let L be the list of all terms of degree d in $\partial \mathcal{O}$, ordered decreasingly w.r.t. σ . If $L = \emptyset$, return the pair (G, \mathcal{O}) and stop. Otherwise, let $L = (t_1, \ldots, t_\ell)$.
- **B3** Repeat the following steps for $i = 1, ..., \ell$.
- **B4** Let A be the matrix obtained by appending the column $\operatorname{eval}_{\mathbb{X}}(t_i)$ as a new first column to M, let $B = A^{\operatorname{tr}} A$ and γ the smallest eigenvalue of B.

B5 If $\sqrt{\gamma} < \varepsilon$ then compute the norm one eigenvector (s_0, \ldots, s_m) of *B* w.r.t. γ and append $s_0t_i + s_1u_1 + \cdots + s_mu_m$ to *G*, where u_1, \ldots, u_m are the elements of \mathcal{O} .

B6 If $\sqrt{\gamma} \geq \varepsilon$ then append t_i to \mathcal{O} and replace M by A.

This is algorithm which computes an order ideal \mathcal{O} and an δ -approximate \mathcal{O} -border basis G (for some explicitly given $\delta > 0$). The polynomials of G vanish ε -approximately at \mathbb{X} , and \mathcal{O} is strongly linearly independent on \mathbb{X} .

5 – From Approximate to Exact Border Bases

Impossible only means that you haven't found the solution yet. (Anonymous)

Remark 5.1 In an approximate border basis, the matrices A_i do not commute exactly, but their commutators $A_i A_j - A_j A_i$ have **small entries**. (The c_{ij} almost satisfy the equations of the border basis scheme.) **Remark 5.2** Let $\ell = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and let A_1, \ldots, A_n be the multiplication matrices of X. Then the points of X are in normal ℓ -position if and only if the matrix

$$A_{\ell} = a_1 A_1 + \dots + a_n A_n$$

is **commendable**, i.e. if its characteristic polynomial splits into linear factors and its eigenspaces are 1-dimensional.

Remark 5.3 If we choose "random" numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\ell = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$ then X is in normal ℓ -position and A_ℓ is commendable. We shall call A_ℓ a **generic multiplication matrix** of X.

The idea is that A_{ℓ} contains all essential information about X. In particular, it determines all A_i and the border basis.

Proposition 5.4 (Properties of Multiplication Matrices)

Let $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite point set, and let \mathcal{O} be an order ideal such that there exists an \mathcal{O} -border basis of $I_{\mathbb{X}}$.

(a) The eigenvalues of the *i*-th multiplication matrix A_i w.r.t. \mathcal{O} are the *i*-th coordinates of the points of X.

(b) Let $\mathcal{O} = \{1, x_1, \dots, x_n, t_{n+2}, \dots, t_{\mu}\}$. Then the joint eigenvectors of $A_1^{\text{tr}}, \dots, A_n^{\text{tr}}$ are of the form $v_i = (1, p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in}, \dots)$ where the points (p_{i1}, \dots, p_{in}) are the points of X.

Commuting Families

The characterization of border bases by commuting matrices says that if we find matrices A_1, \ldots, A_n which have the structure of multiplication matrices, they correspond to an actual border basis if and only if they commute.

This suggests the following approach: take the **approximate multiplication matrices** and replace them by near-by rational formal multiplication matrices which commute exactly.

How do we find such rational matrices?

Remark 5.5 If a matrix B is of the form $B = f(A_{\ell})$ with a univariate polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ then B commutes with A_{ℓ} .

The Rational Recovery Algorithm

Suppose that \widetilde{G} is an approximate \mathcal{O} -border basis. The following algorithm computes an exact \mathcal{O} -border basis **close** to \widetilde{G} .

(R1) Form the approximate multiplication matrices $\widetilde{A}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{A}_n$, i.e. the formal multiplication matrices corresponding to the border prebasis \widetilde{G} .

(R2) Choose a generic linear form $\ell = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n$ and form the generic approximate multiplication matrix

$$\widetilde{A}_{\ell} = a_1 \, \widetilde{A}_1 + \dots + a_n \, \widetilde{A}_n$$

(R3) Perform rational recovery on the matrix \tilde{A}_{ℓ} , i.e. form a rational matrix A_{ℓ} very close to it.

(4) Using Least Squares Approximations, find polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[x]_{\leq \mu}$ such that $f_i(A_\ell)$ is a close to \widetilde{A}_i as possible (for $i = 1, \ldots, n$).

(5) Reconstruct the exact border basis G from the commuting matrices $A_i = f_i(A_\ell)$.

Note: The fact that the matrices A_i commute follows from the observation that $\mathbb{Q}[A_\ell]$ is a commutative ring.

Let us go carefully through one example for rational recovery.

Example 5.6 (The Corners of the Unit Square)

Let $X = \{(0.02, 0.01), (0.99, 0.01), (1.01, 0.98), (0.02, 0.99)\}$ be the slightly perturbed corners of the unit square.

We apply the ABM algorithm with $\varepsilon = 0.05$ and get the order ideal $\mathcal{O} = \{1, y, x, xy\}$ and the approximate (unitary) \mathcal{O} -border basis $\widetilde{G} = \{\widetilde{g}_1, \widetilde{g}_2, \widetilde{g}_3, \widetilde{g}_4\}$ with

$$\tilde{g}_1 \approx x^2 - 0.02xy - 1.00x + 0.0$$

$$\tilde{g}_2 \approx y^2 - 0.99y$$

$$\tilde{g}_3 \approx x^2y - 1.03xy + 0.02y$$

$$\tilde{g}_4 \approx xy^2 - 0.98xy$$

This yields the approximate multiplication matrices

$$\widetilde{A}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -0.02 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.02 \\ 1 & 0 & 1.01 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0.02 & 1.03 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{A}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -0.01 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0.99 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.01 & 0 & -0.01 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.99 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both matrices have two eigenvalues very close to 0 and two eigenvalues very close to 1. If we tried to compute the joint eigenvectors of \tilde{A}_1^{tr} and \tilde{A}_2^{tr} , we would get numerically unstable results.

Therefore we form a "random" linear combination $\widetilde{A}_{\ell} = 0.4 A_1 + 0.8 A_2$. Then we consider its (floating point) entries as rational numbers and get A_{ℓ} . Next we approximate \widetilde{A}_1 and \widetilde{A}_1 as polynomials in A_{ℓ} . The result is

$$\widetilde{A}_{1} \approx -0.01 I_{4} + 8.7 A_{\ell} - 19.4 A_{\ell}^{2} + 10.8 A_{\ell}^{3}$$

$$\widetilde{A}_{2} \approx 0.05 I_{4} - 3.1 A_{\ell} + 9.7 A_{\ell}^{2} - 5.4 A_{\ell}^{3}$$

Finally, the right hand sides give truly commuting matrices A_1 and A_2 . From these we read off the exact border basis.

6 – An Application: Algebraic Oil

All models are wrong. Some models are useful.

(George Box)



Figure 1: Overview of an Oil Production System

Schematic Representation of the System



Measurement time series are available for individual zone producing separately (well test) and for the situation when they all produce simultaneously.

The Production Modelling Problem

Assume that **no a priori model** is available to describe the production of a well in terms of measurable physical quantities.

Find a **polynomial model** of the production in terms of the determining, measurable physical quantities which specifically models the **interactions** occurring in this production unit.

Find such a model which correctly **predicts** the behaviour of the production system over **longer time periods** (weeks or even months).

Example 6.1 A certain two-zone oil well in Brunei yields 7200 data points in \mathbb{R}^8 . We use 80% of the points for modelling the total production in the following way:

(a) Using the ABM algorithm with $\varepsilon = 0.1$, compute an order ideal \mathcal{O} and its evaluation matrix $eval(\mathcal{O})$.

(b) Find the vector in the linear span of the rows of $eval(\mathcal{O})$ which is closest to the total production.

(c) The corresponding linear combination of terms in \mathcal{O} is the **model polynomial** for the total production.

(d) Plot all values of the model polynomial at the given points. Compare them at the points which were not used for modelling with the actual measured values. If you want to know THE END,

look at the beginning.

(African Proverb)

Thank you for your attention!