International School on Computer Algebra: COCOA 2007 RISC Hagenberg-Linz (Austria), June 2007

# Betti Numbers and Generic Initial Ideals

### Lecture 3

## Aldo Conca

Tutor Anna Bigatti

– Typeset by  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FoilT}}\xspace{T_E\!X}$  –

I homogeneous ideal of  $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ 

Hilbert function and polynomial of R/I and of I

$$HF(R/I, i) \ i \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \dim_K[R/I]_i$$

 $HF(I,i) \ i \in \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \dim_K I_i$ 

$$HF(R/I,i) + HF(I,i) = \binom{n-1+i}{n-1}$$

HF(R/I, i) agrees for i >> 0 with a polynomial, Hilbert polynomial of R/I, whose degree is one less than the Krull dimension of R/I.

I and  $in_{\tau}(I)$  have the same Hilbert function.

I and  $gin_{\tau}(I)$  have the same Hilbert function.

### Segments of monomials

Let  $\tau$  be t.o. on  $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

Assume that  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

V be a vector space generated by monomials of degree i.

Definition V is a  $\tau$ -segment if whenever  $m_1, m_2$  are monomials of degree i such that  $m_1 > m_2$  and  $m_2 \in V$ then also  $m_1 \in V$ . Given  $\tau$ , i and

$$d \le \dim R_i = \binom{n-1+i}{n-1}$$

there exists exactly one  $\tau$ -segment of dimension d and degree i: it is vector space generated by the d largest monomials of degree i.

Denote it by  $\text{Seg}_{\tau}^{n}(i,d)$  or just by  $\text{Seg}_{\tau}(i,d)$  if n is clear from the context.

Example If n = 3 then

$$\operatorname{Seg}_{\operatorname{lex}}^{3}(2,4) = \operatorname{Seg}_{\operatorname{rlex}}^{3}(2,4) = \langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{1}x_{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3} \rangle$$

If n = 4 then

$$\operatorname{Seg}_{\operatorname{lex}}^{4}(2,4) = \langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{1}x_{2}, x_{1}x_{3}, x_{1}x_{4} \rangle$$
$$\operatorname{Seg}_{\operatorname{rlex}}^{4}(2,4) = \langle x_{1}^{2}, x_{1}x_{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}x_{3} \rangle$$

Remark  $\operatorname{Seg}_{\operatorname{rlex}}^{n}(i,d)$  is independent of n.

Definition A monomial ideal I is a  $\tau\text{-segment}$  if every homogeneous component  $I_i$  of I is a  $\tau\text{-segment},$  equivalently ,

if  $m_1, m_2$  are monomials of the same degree and  $m_1 > m_2 \in I$  then  $m_1 \in I$ .

Warning: Not enough to test the condition for idealgenerators:

Example  $(x_1)$  is a revlex-segment in degree 1 but not in degree 2 since  $x_2^2 > x_1x_3 \in I$  and  $x_2^2 \notin I$ .

But it is enough to test ideal-generators to check whether an ideal is a lex-segment, i.e.

A monomial ideal I is a lex-segment if whenever  $m_1, m_2$ are monomials of the same degree and  $m_1 > m_2$  and  $m_2$ is a generator of I then  $m_1 \in I$ . The gins (generic initial ideals) "tend" to be segments since the maximal potential support for a vector space of dimension d of forms of degree i "is" the correspondent  $\tau$ -segment.

But in general they are not. An obstruction comes from Hilbert functions.

A monomial  $\tau$ -segment I is determined by its Hilbert function,

$$I = \oplus_i \operatorname{Seg}_{\tau}^n(i, \dim I_i)$$

Given a function  $h : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  we say that h supports a  $\tau$ -segment if h is the Hilbert function of R/I where I is a  $\tau$ -segment. This is equivalent to the following conditions 1) h(0) = 1, h(1) = n, 2)  $h_i^* \ge 0$ , 3)  $\oplus_i \operatorname{Seg}_{\tau}^n(i, h_i^*)$  is an ideal

where

$$h_i^* = \binom{n-1+i}{n-1} - h(i)$$

Certain rings have Hilbert functions which do not support  $\tau$ -segment ideals, for instance:

Lemma A function of  $h : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with h(0) = 1 and h(1) = n supports a revlex-segment ideal iff  $h(i+1) \le h(i)$  for all  $i \ge \min\{j : h(j) < \binom{n-1+j}{n-1}\}$ 

Prove the only if part.

It follows that the Hilbert functions of proper (\*) quotients of R with Krull dimension > 1 do not support revlex segments.

In particular, if  $I \neq 0$ , does not contain linear forms and R/I has Krull dimension > 1 then  $gin_{rlex}(I)$  is NOT a revlex-segment.

The Lemma can be used also for some 0-dimensional ring:

Example  $I = (x^5, y^5, z^5)$ , h = HF of R/I then h(5) = 18and h(6) = 19. So  $gin_{rlex}(I)$  is NOT a revlex-segment simply because there are no revlex-segment ideals with that HF. But ALL Hilbert functions support lex-segment ideals. This is (a possible formulation) of Macaulay characterization of HF:

Theorem (Macaulay): A function  $h : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with h(0) = 1 and h(1) = n is the Hilbert function of a quotient of R iff  $\bigoplus_i \operatorname{Seg}_{lex}^n(i, h_i^*)$  is an ideal of R.

$$h_i^* = \binom{n-1+i}{n-1} - h(i)$$

If  $h : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a HF, then the ideal  $\bigoplus_i \operatorname{Seg}_{lex}^n(i, h_i^*)$  is denoted by  $\operatorname{Lex}(h)$ . It is called the lex-segment associated with h.

If I is an ideal and h is the Hilbert function of R/I then Lex(h) is denoted also by Lex(I).

An essentially equivalent formulation of Macaulay Theorem is:

For every vector space  $V \subset R_i$  of dimension d set  $L = Seg_{lex}^n(i, d)$ . Then one has

#### $\dim VR_1 \ge \dim LR_1$

The vector spaces V satisfying equality deserve a special name:

Definition Let V be a vector space  $V \subset R_i$  with dim V = d and set  $L = \text{Seg}_{\text{lex}}^n(i, d)$ . Then V is called Gotzmann if dim  $VR_1 = \dim LR_1$ .

Problem Describe some Gotzmann spaces for n = 3, i = 2 and d = 4. Let  $L = \text{Seg}_{\text{lex}}^3(2, 4)$ , describe all the Gotzmann spaces V with  $\text{in}_{\text{lex}}(V) = L$ .

Problem Given an Artinian function  $h : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  (that is h(i) = 0 for i >> 0) and a term order  $\tau$  write a Cocoa function to check whether h supports a  $\tau$ -segment.

#### Example

Then Lex(I) is generated by the 1 largest monomials in degree 2, by the 4 largest monomials of degree 3, and so on.

So Lex(I) = Lex(h) is generated by the 10 monomials.  $(x^2, xy^2, xyz^2, xz^3, y^5, y^4z, y^3z^2, y^2z^4, yz^5, z^7)$ 

### How do Borel-fixed ideals look like?

An ideal I is Borel-fixed iff it is monomial and verifies the following condition

for every monomial  $m \in I$ for every  $1 \le j < i \le n$ let t be the exponent of  $x_i$  in m.

Then  $(x_j/x_i)^r m \in I$  for all  $r = 1, \ldots, t$  such  $\binom{t}{r} \neq 0$  in K.

Enough to test with m ideal-generators of I.

Key point: invertible diagonal matrices + elementary upper triangular matrices generate the Borel-group  $B_n$ .

Elementary upper triangular matrices  $E_{ji}(a)$  with j < i correspond to automorphisms:

 $\begin{array}{l} x_k \longrightarrow x_k \text{ for } k \neq i \\ x_i \longrightarrow x_i + a x_j \end{array}$ 

#### Strongly stable ideals

If char 0 then  $\binom{t}{r} \neq 0$ .

Definition A monomial ideal I is strongly stable if whenever  $mx_i \in I$  for some monomial m then  $mx_j \in I$ for every j < i.

Equivalently,  $I: x_i = I: (x_1, \ldots, x_i)$  for every i.

Strongly stable  $\Rightarrow$  Borel-fixed.

In  $\operatorname{char} 0$ , Borel-fixed is equivalent to strongly stable.

 $(x_1^p, x_2^p)$  Borex-fixed in char p, not strongly stable.

 $(x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1x_3^2, x_3^3)$  Borex-fixed in char 3, not strongly stable.

Sums, products, intersections and colon ideals of Borelfixed ideals are Borel-fixed.

\*) Segments are strongly stable since  $x_jm > x_im$  if j < i.

\*) In  $K[x_1, x_2]$  strongly stable ideals are segments (lex-segments)

\*) For  $\geq 3$  variables strongly stable ideals are, in general, not segments:

– Typeset by  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FoilT}}_E\!\mathrm{X}$  –

#### Example

The ideal  $(x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1^2x_3, x_1x_2^3, x_2^4)$  is strongly stable and not a segment.

If, by contradiction, there exists a t.o.  $\tau$  such that it is a segment, then since  $x_1^2x_3$  is in and  $x_1x_2^2$  is out, we have  $x_1^2x_3 >_{\tau} x_1x_2^2$ .

It follows  $x_1x_3 >_{\tau} x_2^2$  and  $x_1x_2^2x_3 >_{\tau} x_2^4$ . But  $x_2^4$  is in and  $x_1x_2^2x_3$  is out: a contradiction.

Summing up,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{gins} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \text{segments} & \Rightarrow & \text{st.stable} & \Rightarrow & \text{B.fixed} \\ & \not = & & \not = \\ & & \leftarrow & \text{if } n = 2 \\ & & \leftarrow & \text{if } \text{char } 0 \end{array}$$

One can introduce the Borel partial order on the set of monomials of given degree.

A Borel move: in a monomial m replace a variable  $x_i$ with  $x_j$  with j < i. For example,  $x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^2 \longrightarrow x_1 x_2^4 x_4^2$ .

Given monomials of same degree we say  $m_1 > m_2$  in the Borel order if we can pass fro  $m_2$  to  $m_1$  with a series of Borel moves.

If  $m_1 = x^a$  and  $m_2 = x^b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^n$  then  $m_1 > m_2$  in the Borel order iff  $a_1 \ge b_1$  and  $a_1 + a_2 \ge b_1 + b_2$  and ....

The Borel order is a partial order on the set of monomials of degree i.

Let  $x^a$  and  $x^b$  be monomials of degree i. Then  $x^a > x^b$  in the Borel order iff  $x^a >_{\tau} x^b$  for all term orders  $\tau$  with  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

A strongly stable ideal I is one satisfying the condition:

For every  $m \in I$  and every  $m_1 > m$  in the Borel-order one has  $m_1 \in I$ .

#### – Typeset by Foil $\mathrm{T}_{E}\mathrm{X}$ –

Ideals whose gin is known

\*) If 
$$I = (f)$$
 and  $\deg f = i$ , then  $gin_{\tau}(I) = (x_1^i)$ 

\*) If I is Borel-fixed then  ${\rm gin}_\tau(I)=I$ 

\*) In char 0 and 2-variables the gin is the (lex-)segment. Hence gin(I) is determined by the HF of I.

\*) If I is strongly stable non-segment then  $gin_{\tau}(I) = I$ . So gin lex is not always the lex-segment.

\*) If I = g(J) for some  $g \in \operatorname{GL}_n$  then  $\operatorname{gin}_{\tau}(I) = \operatorname{gin}_{\tau}(J)$ 

\*) If I is generated by r independent linear forms then  ${\rm gin}_\tau(I)=(x_1,\ldots,x_r)$ 

\*) If  $I \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  and  $S = R[x_n]$  then  $gin_{\tau}(IS) = gin_{\tau}(I)S$ 

Problem: Check with CoCoA.

In general:

(1) 
$$gin(I+J) \supseteq gin(I) + gin(J)$$

```
(2) gin(IJ) \supseteq gin(I) gin(J)
```

(3) 
$$gin(I \cap J) \subseteq gin(I) \cap gin(J)$$

usually strict.

Problem: Check with CoCoA.

**Problem**: Given an ideal I shows that  $\{gin(I^i)\}_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is a filtration. Is the associated Rees ring Noetherian? Sometimes yes, but I do not know in general what is going on.

Problem: If  $I \subset R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $J \subset S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$  what is the relation between gin(I), gin(J) and gin(I+J)? Say the term order is revlex. Any guess?