

International School on Computer Algebra: COCOA 2007
RISC Hagenberg-Linz (Austria), June 2007

Betti Numbers and Generic Initial Ideals

Lecture 2

Aldo Conca

Tutor Anna Bigatti

Automorphisms of polynomial rings

K infinite field.

$R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a K -algebra (i.e. a ring and a K -vector space)

A K -algebra homomorphism

$$g : R \longrightarrow R$$

is a ring homomorphism such that $g(a) = a$ for all $a \in K$.

g is determined by the images of x_i :
if $g(x_i) = g_i \in R$ then

$$g(F(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = F(g_1, \dots, g_n) \quad (1)$$

Viceversa, for every $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in R^n$ the map (1) is a K -algebra homomorphism.

$$\{K\text{-alg.homomorphism } R \longrightarrow R\} \leftrightarrow R^n$$

$$g \leftrightarrow (g_1, \dots, g_n), \quad f \leftrightarrow (f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

$$\text{composition of maps } f \circ g \leftrightarrow (h_1, \dots, h_n)$$

$$\text{with } h_i = g_i(f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

A K -algebra automorphism of R is a bijective K -algebra homomorphism

How do we decide whether (g_1, \dots, g_n) corresponds to a K -alg. automorphism?

It suffices to check that (g_1, \dots, g_n) induces a surjective map,

$$\text{i.e. } K[g_1, \dots, g_n] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

i.e. for every i there exists $f_i \in R$ such that $f_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) = x_i$.

Jacobian Conjecture (char $K = 0$)

$g(x_i) = g_i$ is a K -alg automorphism

\Leftrightarrow

$\det(\partial g_i / \partial x_j) \in K \setminus \{0\}$.

\Rightarrow is easy: if g is an automorphism, then there exists f_1, \dots, f_n so that $f_i(g_1, \dots, g_n) = x_i$. Then use the chain rule: $J_f(g)J_g = I$ and hence $\det J_g \in K \setminus \{0\}$.

\Leftarrow not known even for $n = 2$.

Problem: For a given g the Jacobian Conjecture can be checked using GB. Write a CoCoA program which does it. For algebra membership test, see [KR, Ch.3].

Graded Automorphisms of polynomial rings

A ring homomorphism $g : R \longrightarrow R$ is (standard) graded if it preserves homogeneity and degree.

K -algebra graded homomorphism



(g_1, \dots, g_n) with g_i homogeneous of degree 1,
 $g_i = g_{1i}x_1 + \dots + g_{ni}x_n$



$$(g_{ij}) \in M_{nn}(K)$$

as semigroups (composition = rows \times columns)

Conclusion:

K -algebra graded automorphisms of R



$$\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$$

(as groups)

$g = (g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ acts on R by

$$g(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ji} x_j$$

$$g(F(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = F(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n))$$

$$R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

τ t.o. $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$.

$$\text{in}_\tau = \text{LT}_\tau$$

I homogeneous ideal

$$g \in \text{GL}_n = \text{GL}_n(K)$$

$g(I)$ is an isomorphic copy of I

$g(I)$ is I written wrt a different system of coordinates.

$R/I \simeq R/g(I)$ as graded K -algebras.

Any graded K -algebra automorphism

$$R/I \longrightarrow R/I$$

is induced by a $g \in \text{GL}_n$ such that $g(I) = I$.

Problem

$G \subseteq \text{GL}_n$ a subgroup, we say that I is G -fixed if $g(I) = I$ for every $g \in G$.

Show that:

If G is generated (as a group) by a subset $W \subset G$, then I is G -fixed iff $g(I) = I$ for every $g \in W$.

I is G -fixed iff $g(I) \subset I$ for every $g \in G$.

$\bigcap_{g \in G} g(I)$ is the largest G -fixed ideal contained in I .

$\sum_{g \in G} g(I)$ is the smallest G -fixed ideal containing I .

Sums, products, intersections and colon of G -fixed ideals are G -fixed.

I is monomial iff I is T -fixed where $T = (K^*)^n$ is the diagonal subgroup (K is infinite).

If $\text{char } K = 0$, then the only GL_n -fixed ideals are the powers of (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

For $G = S_n$ write a CoCoA function to check whether a given ideal is G -fixed.

Given an ideal I the set $G_I = \{g \in \text{GL}_n : g(I) = I\}$ is a subgroup of G . Write a CoCoA function to compute G_I for a $I = (f)$ and f form of degree d in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

Generic initial ideals

Given τ and I consider the family

$$\text{in}_\tau(g(I)) \quad g \in \text{GL}_n$$

B_n = upper triangular invertible (Borel group)

U_n = upper triangular with 1 on the diagonal
(unipotent group)

$$U_n \subset B_n \subset \text{GL}_n$$

GL_n is Zariski-open in \mathbb{A}^{n^2} .

THM (Galligo, Bayer–Stillman)

1) For a generic $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ the ideal $\mathrm{in}_\tau(g(I))$ is “constant”, i.e. there exists a non-empty Zariski-open subset U of GL_n such that

$$\mathrm{in}_\tau(g(I)) = \mathrm{in}_\tau(h(I)) \quad \forall g, h \in U$$

Set $\mathrm{gin}_\tau(I) = \mathrm{in}_\tau(g(I))$ with $g \in U$

$\mathrm{gin}_\tau(I)$ is the **generic initial ideal (gin)** wrt τ .

$$J = \mathrm{gin}_\tau(I).$$

2) J is Borel-fixed, i.e. B_n -fixed.

3) $\mathrm{in}_\tau(g(I)) = J$ for a generic $g \in U_n$.

Proof 1): Take $G = (G_{ij})$ of size $n \times n$ where G_{ij} are variables over K . Consider $K' = K(G_{ij})$, $R' = K'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $G \in \text{GL}_n(K')$ and IR' the extension of I to R' .

Apply Buchberger algorithm to $G(IR')$,

The coefficients of the polynomials showing up are elements of K' , i.e. rational functions in the variables G_{ij} .

F = the product of the numerators and denominators of the leading coefficients of the polynomials showing up in the GB computation.

If $g \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ and $F(g) \neq 0$ then the Buchberger algorithm applied to $g(I)$ reproduces the “same” GB, with G_{ij} replaced by g_{ij} . Hence $\text{in}_\tau(g(I))$ is “equal” to $\text{in}_\tau(G(I))$.

Set $U = \{g \in \text{GL}_n : F(g) \neq 0\}$.

Example: $R = K[x, y]$, $I = (x^2, y^2)$, $\tau = x > y$.

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$G(I)$ is generated by $(G_{11}x + G_{21}y)^2$ and $(G_{12}x + G_{22}y)^2$.

If $\text{char } K \neq 2$ then $\text{in}(G(I)) = (x^2, xy, y^3)$ and the “important ” coefficients are $\det(G)$ and G_{11} and G_{12} .

If $\text{char } K = 2$ then $G(I) = I$ and $\text{in}(G(I)) = I$ the “important ” coefficient is $\det G$. It follows:

$$\text{gin}_\tau(I) = \begin{cases} (x^2, xy, y^3) & \text{char } K \neq 2 \\ & g_{11}g_{12} \neq 0 \\ (x^2, y^2) & \text{char } K = 2 \\ & \forall g \end{cases}$$

The proofs 2) and 3) require a better description of $\text{gin}_\tau(I)$.

Given τ t.o. and V a K -subspace of R define

$$\text{in}_\tau(V) = \langle \text{in}_\tau(f) : f \in V \quad f \neq 0 \rangle$$

if V is an ideal then $\text{in}_\tau(V)$ is an ideal

if V is a K -algebra then $\text{in}_\tau(V)$ is a K -algebra.

If V has finite vector space dimension then $\dim V = \dim \text{in}_\tau(V)$

If I is an homogeneous ideal then $\text{in}_\tau(I) = \bigoplus_i \text{in}_\tau(I_i)$, equivalently,

$$\text{in}_\tau(I)_i = \text{in}_\tau(I_i)$$

Computing $\text{in}_\tau(V)$ when V is finite dimensional=Gauss reduction.

Here is why: If V is generated by f_1, \dots, f_k then we apply Gauss reduction to f_1, \dots, f_k which consists of the iteration of the following step: whenever two polynomials f_i and f_j ($i < j$) of the list have the same initial monomial then replace f_j with

$$f_j := f_j - (a/b)f_i$$

where a is the initial coefficient of f_j and b is that of f_i . Get rid of the 0's. At the end we get a system of generators (indeed a basis) of V say f'_1, \dots, f'_h , such that $\text{in}_\tau(f'_i) \neq \text{in}_\tau(f'_j)$ if $i \neq j$. Then

$$\text{in}_\tau(V) = \langle \text{in}_\tau(f'_1), \dots, \text{in}_\tau(f'_h) \rangle$$

Example:

$n = 3$, $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $\tau = \text{Lex}$, $V = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2, & f_2 &= 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ f_3 &= x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 \end{aligned}$$

Gauss reduction

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &:= f_2 - 2f_1 = -2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 \\ f_3 &:= f_3 - f_1 = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 \\ f_3 &:= f_3 + 1/2f_2 = x_1x_3 + 1/2x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f'_1 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2, & f'_2 &= -2x_1x_2 + x_2^2, \\ f'_3 &= x_1x_3 + 1/2x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{in}_\tau(V) = \langle x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3 \rangle$$

Example: Same as before

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2, & f_2 &= 2x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ f_3 &= x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 \end{aligned}$$

M_V = matrix with 3 rows corresponding to f_1, f_2, f_3 and 4 columns corresponding to the monomials $x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2^2$ (Lex order).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $[1, 2, 3|1, 2, 3]_{M_V} \neq 0$ and hence

$$\text{in}_\tau(V) = \langle x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3 \rangle$$

V vector space of forms of degree i and dimension d . Wrt the monomial basis and given a basis of V , V is represented by a matrix $d \times \binom{n-1+i}{i}$, call it M_V . For distinct monomials m_1, \dots, m_d of degree i we put

$$[m_1, \dots, m_d]_V =$$

det minor M_V with columns m_1, \dots, m_d

defined up to sign, “essentially” not depending on the given basis but only on V .

$$\text{Supp}(V) =$$

$$\{\{m_1, \dots, m_d\} : [m_1, \dots, m_d]_V \neq 0\}$$

Alternative description: $\wedge^d V$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of $\wedge^d R_i$, and $\text{Supp}(V)$ is the set of exterior monomials....

*1) Gauss reduction: Order columns according to τ . There exists $\{m_1, \dots, m_d\} \in \text{Supp}(V)$ such that

$$m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_d$$

and $\forall \{n_1, \dots, n_d\} \in \text{Supp}(V)$ with

$$n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_d$$

one has

$$m_j \geq n_j \quad \forall j$$

Call $\{m_1, \dots, m_d\}$ the \max_τ of $\text{Supp}(V)$.

By construction,

$$\text{in}_\tau(V) = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle$$

*2) “maximal and constant”

$$\text{gin}_\tau(I_i) = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle$$

where $\{m_1, \dots, m_d\}$ is the \max_τ of $\text{Supp}(G(I_i))$, G matrix of variables as in the proof of 1).

$\forall g \in \text{GL}_n$ one has

$$\text{Supp}(g(I_i)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(G(I_i))$$

with $=$ for g generic.

$\forall g$ and $\forall \{n_1, \dots, n_d\} \in \text{Supp}(g(I_i))$ with $n_1 > \dots > n_d$ one has $n_j \leq m_j \forall j$

*3) Main Lemma: if V is a space of dimension d of forms of degree i and τ a t.o. then

$$\text{Supp}(g(\text{in}_\tau(V))) \subseteq \text{Supp}(g(V))$$

for a generic $g \in \text{GL}_n$.

Proof: For $G = (G_{ij})$ and give $\deg G_{ij} = e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. For monomials n_1, \dots, n_d , the element $[n_1, \dots, n_d]_{G(\text{in}_\tau(V))}$ is a multi-homogeneous polynomial in the G_{ij} and it is a multi-homogeneous component of $[n_1, \dots, n_d]_{G(V)}$.

So if the first does not vanish, then the second does not vanish.

*4) For every space or ideal V and for every g lower tringular one has

$$\text{in}_\tau(g(V)) = \text{in}_\tau(V)$$

Proof: Let g be lower triangular. Then for every monomial m we have $\text{in}_\tau(g(m)) = m$. Hence for every polynomial f we have $\text{in}_\tau(g(f)) = \text{in}_\tau(f)$. Therefore for every vector space V $\text{in}_\tau(g(V)) = \text{in}_\tau(V)$.

*5) Given monomials $m_1 > \dots > m_d$ set $V = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle$. For g upper tringular and every $\{n_1 > \dots > n_d\}$ in $\text{Supp}(g(V))$ one has $n_j \geq m_j$.

*6) $\text{Supp}(V) = \{\{m_1, \dots, m_d\}\}$ iff $V = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle$.

Proof 2): J is Borel-fixed if its homogeneous components are Borel-fixed. Consider J_i and write

$$J_i = \langle m_1, \dots, m_d \rangle = \text{in}_\tau(g_1(I_i))$$

with g_1 generic. Apply the Main Lemma to $V = g_1(I_i)$. We have:

$$\text{Supp}(g(J_i)) \subseteq \text{Supp}(gg_1(I_i))$$

with g generic.

By *2) we have that for all $g \in \text{GL}_n$ every $\{n_1 > \dots > n_d\} \in \text{Supp}(gg_1(I_i))$ one has $n_i \leq m_i$.

(*) Hence for all $g \in \text{GL}_n$ and every $\{n_1 > \dots > n_d\} \in \text{Supp}(g(J_i))$ one has $n_i \leq m_i$.

We may take $g = h \in B_n$. Combining (*) and *5) we have that $\text{Supp}(h(J_i)) = \{\{m_1, \dots, m_d\}\}$ for all $h \in B_n$. By 6*) we conclude that $h(J_i) = J_i$ for all $h \in B_n$.

Proof 3: Let $g \in \text{GL}_n$ generic so that $\text{in}_\tau(g(I)) = J$ and so that g has a LDU-decomposition. Say $g = ab$ with a lower (with arbitrary diagonal elements) and $b \in U_n$. Then by *4)

$$J = \text{in}_\tau(g(I)) = \text{in}_\tau(ab(I)) = \text{in}_\tau(b(I))$$

So J is obtained with a $b \in U_n$. By the “maximality” of J , it is obtained also by the generic $b \in U_n$.

How to compute the gin?

1) $g \in \text{GL}_n$ “random” upper triangular and compute $\text{in}_\tau(g(I))$;

the result is the $\text{gin}_\tau(I)$ only with high probability

2) $G = (G_{ij})$ upper triangular with G_{ij} , $i < j$, algebraically independent over K and compute $\text{in}_\tau(G(I))$

– too many variables –

Problem: Find an efficient algorithm to compute gin

Example: Compute gin lex and revlex of $I = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$. Take an upper triangular of variables:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G(I) = (x^2, (ax + y)^2, (bx + cy + z)^2)$$

We have to determine

$$\text{in}(G(I))$$

From degree 4 on I contains everything, so the action takes place in degree 2 and 3. Computing the supports of $G(I)_2$ and $G(I)_3$ one gets the following results:

$$\text{gin}_{\text{lex}}(I) = \begin{cases} (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^4) \\ \text{char } K \neq 2, 3 \quad abc(ac - b) \neq 0 \\ \\ (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, z^3) \\ \text{char } K = 3 \quad ab(ac + b) \neq 0 \\ \\ I \\ \text{char } K = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{gin}_{\text{rlex}}(I) = \begin{cases} (x^2, xy, y^2, xz^2, yz^2, z^4) \\ \text{char } K \neq 2, 3 \quad c(ac - b) \neq 0 \\ \\ (x^2, xy, y^2, xz^2, z^3) \\ \text{char } K = 3, c(ac - b)(ac + b) \neq 0 \\ \\ I \\ \text{char } K = 2 \end{cases}$$

In this example we see that:

- *) The gin depends on the term order
- *) The gin revlex is “smaller” than the gin lex (true in general)
- *) In $\text{char } 0$ the gins are “segments” (not true in general)