

CoCoA School 7-12 June 2009

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and applications

Maria Evelina Rossi

Università di Genova
Dipartimento di Matematica

TUTORS COCOA: Anna Bigatti and Eduardo De Cabezón Irigaray



The Castelnuovo Mumford regularity

- is one of the most important invariants of a graded module, after the multiplicity and the dimension.
- is related to the theory of syzygies which connects the qualitative study of algebraic varieties and commutative rings with the study of their defining equations.
- is a good measure of the complexity of computing Gröbner bases.
- is a very active area of research which involves specialists working in commutative algebra, algebraic geometry and computational algebra.

- 1 Hilbert Functions and minimal free resolutions
- 2 Castelnuovo Mumford Regularity and its behavior relative to Hyperplane sections, Sums, Products, Intersections of ideals
- 3 Castelnuovo Mumford regularity and initial ideals
- 4 Finiteness of Hilbert Functions and regularity
- 5 Bounds on the regularity and Open Problems

References

Notations

- Denote

$$P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

a polynomial ring over a field k with $\deg x_i = 1$

$P_j := k$ -vector space generated by the forms of P of degree j .

- M a finitely generated graded P -module (such as an homogeneous ideal I or P/I), i.e.

$$M = \bigoplus_i M_i$$

as abelian groups and $P_j M_i \subseteq M_{i+j}$ for every i, j .

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, the d -th twist of M

$$M(d)_i := M_{i+d}.$$

Hilbert Function

Definition

The numerical function

$$HF_M(j) := \dim_k M_j$$

is called the **Hilbert function** of M .

Assume $M = P/I$ where I is an homogeneous ideal of P .

An important **motivation arises in projective geometry**.

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ a projective variety defined by $I = I(X) \subseteq P = k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$.

If we write $A(X) = P/I(X)$ for the homogeneous coordinate ring of X :

$$HF_X(d) = \dim_k A(X)_d = \dim_k P_d - \dim_k I_d = \binom{r+d}{r} - \dim_k I_d$$

$\dim_k I_d \dashrightarrow$ **the 'number' of hypersurfaces of degree d vanishing on X .**

Hilbert Function

If τ is a term ordering on \mathbb{T}^n and $G = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is a τ -Gröbner basis of I , then

$$\text{Lt}_\tau\{I\} = \{\text{Lt}_\tau(f_1), \dots, \text{Lt}_\tau(f_s)\}$$

The residue classes of the elements of $\mathbb{T}^n \setminus \text{Lt}_\tau\{I\}$ form a k -basis of P/I .

Let $\text{Lt}_\tau(I) = (\text{Lt}_\tau(f_1), \dots, \text{Lt}_\tau(f_s))$.

Proposition

(Macaulay) For every $j \geq 0$

$$HF_{P/I}(j) = HF_{P/\text{Lt}_\tau(I)}(j)$$

Hilbert Polynomial

- $HF_M(j)$ agrees with $HP_M(X)$ a polynomial of degree $d - 1$ where $d =$ Krull dimension of M .
- $HP_M(j)$ is called **Hilbert Polynomial** and it encodes several asymptotic information on M (denote by $e_i(M)$ the Hilbert coefficients).
- A more compact information can be encoded by the **Hilbert series**

$$HS_M(z) := \sum_{i \geq 0} HF_M(i)z^i = \frac{h_M(z)}{(1-z)^d} \quad (\text{Hilbert - Serre})$$

where $h_M(1) = e > 0$ is the multiplicity of M and $d = \dim M$.

- Define

$$\text{reg-index}(M) := \max\{i : HF_M(i) \neq HP_M(i)\}$$

Minimal free resolutions

- A **graded free resolution** of M as a graded P -module is an exact complex ($\ker f_{j-1} = \operatorname{Im} f_j$ for every j)

$$\mathbb{F} : \quad \dots F_h \xrightarrow{f_h} F_{h-1} \xrightarrow{f_{h-1}} \dots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

where F_i are free P -modules and f_i are homogeneous homomorphisms (of degree 0).

- \mathbb{F} is **minimal** if for every $i \geq 1$

$$\operatorname{Im} f_i \subseteq mF_{i-1}$$

where $m = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Existence of minimal graded free resolutions

We proceed step by step:

- Let M be a finitely generated graded P -module. Consider $\{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$ a minimal system of homogeneous generators of M and let $a_{0i} = \deg m_i$.
- Define the homogeneous map

$$F_0 = \bigoplus_i P(-a_{0i}) \xrightarrow{f_0} M$$

$$e_i \rightarrow m_i$$

- f_0 is a surjective map and by the minimality of the system of generators

$$\text{Ker } f_0 \subseteq mF_0$$

- Taking a minimal set of generators $\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$ of $\text{Ker } f_0$ (say of degrees a_{1i}), we define f_1 sending a basis $e'_i \rightarrow s_i$.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f_1 \rightarrow F_1 = \bigoplus_i P(-a_{1i}) \xrightarrow{f_1} \text{Ker } f_0 \rightarrow 0$$

we can iterate the procedure.

Minimal free resolution

The **minimal graded free resolution** of M as P -module has the following shape:

$$\mathbb{F}: \quad \cdots \oplus_{j=1}^{\beta_h} P(-a_{hj}) \xrightarrow{f_h} \oplus_{j=1}^{\beta_{h-1}} P(-a_{h-1j}) \xrightarrow{f_{h-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_1} \oplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} P(-a_{0j}) \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

with the properties:

- $a_{ij} \geq i$ for every i, j
- $\forall k \geq 1, \forall j = 1, \dots, \beta_k$ there exists p :

$$a_{kj} > a_{k-1p}$$

$$\text{NO: } \cdots P^2(-4) \oplus P(-2) \rightarrow P(-3) \oplus P(-2) \rightarrow \cdots$$

- All the non zero entries of the matrices associated to f_i have positive degree

Example

$I = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3)$ in $P = k[x, y, z]$. Define

$$P(-2)^3 \oplus P(-3) \xrightarrow{f_0} I \rightarrow 0$$

$$e_1 \rightsquigarrow x^2$$

$$e_2 \rightsquigarrow xy$$

$$e_3 \rightsquigarrow xz$$

$$e_4 \rightsquigarrow y^3$$

$\text{Syz}_1(I) = \text{Ker } f_0$ is generated by $s_1 = ye_1 - xe_2$; $s_2 = ze_1 - xe_3$;
 $s_3 = ze_2 - ye_3$; $s_4 = y^2e_2 - xe_4$. Define

$$P(-3)^3 \oplus P(-4) \xrightarrow{f_1} \text{Syz}_1(I) \rightarrow 0$$

$$e'_i \rightsquigarrow s_i$$

$\text{Syz}_2(I) = \text{Ker } f_1$ is generated by $s = ze'_1 - ye'_2 + xe'_3$.

A minimal free resolution of I as P -module is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow P(-4) \xrightarrow{f_2} P(-3)^3 \oplus P(-4) \xrightarrow{f_1} P(-2)^3 \oplus P(-3) \xrightarrow{f_0} I \rightarrow 0.$$

$$1 \rightsquigarrow s$$

Basic facts I

It will be useful rewrite the resolution as follows:

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} P(-j)^{\beta_{ij}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} P(-j)^{\beta_{0j}} \rightarrow M$$

- 1) $\beta_{ij} \geq 0$
- 2) β_{ij} = cardinality of the shift $(-j)$ in position i

Question. Does β_{ij} (hence a_{ij}) depend on the maps f_i of the resolution?

We remind that in the proof of the existence of a minimal free resolution we can choose different system of generators of the kernels, hence different maps.

Basic facts I

We prove

Proposition

$$\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ij}(M) = \dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(M, k)_j$$

and we call these integers *graded Betti numbers* of M .

In fact

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^P(M, k) = H_i(\mathbb{F} \otimes P/m)$$

By the minimality of \mathbb{F} the maps of the new complex $\mathbb{F} \otimes P/m$ are trivial, hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_i^P(M, k)_j &= [\oplus_{m \geq 0} P(-m)^{\beta_{im}} \otimes P/m]_j = [\oplus_{m \geq 0} k(-m)^{\beta_{im}}]_j = \\ &= \oplus_{m \geq 0} (k_{j-m})^{\beta_{im}} \underset{j=m}{=} k^{\beta_{ij}} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that two ideals can have the same HF, but different Betti numbers. $I = (x^2, y^2)$ and $J = (x^2, xy, y^3)$ have both $HF_{P/I} = HF_{P/J} = \{(1, 2, 1, 0)\}$ and different number of generators.

Tutorial

In the tutorial we will see what happens if we consider

$$X = \{P_1, \dots, P_4\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$$

four distinct points in the plane.

We let $P = k[x_0, x_1, x_2]$:

- the Hilbert polynomial of a set of four points, no matter what the configuration, is a constant polynomial $HP_X(n) = 4$.
- the Hilbert function of X depends only on whether all four points lie on a line.
- The graded Betti numbers of the minimal resolution, in contrast, capture all the remaining geometry: they tell us whether any three of the points are collinear as well.

Basic facts II

We have proved that:

- The **graded Betti numbers** are uniquely determined by M .
- The minimal graded free resolution is uniquely determined by M up to homogeneous isomorphisms of graded free modules (bases changes).
- The **total Betti numbers** :

$$\beta_i(M) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{ij}(M) = rk(F_i)$$

- $\beta_0(M) =$ minimal number of generators of $M (= \dim_k M/mM)$
 $\beta_{0j}(M) = \dim_k M_j/P_1 M_{j-1}$
- $\beta_i(M) =$ number of minimal i -syzygies of $M (= \ker f_{i-1})$
 $\beta_{ij}(M) =$ number of minimal i -syzygies of M of degree j

Koszul complex

A special graded P -free resolution:

Example

$P = k[x_1, x_2]$ A graded minimal free resolution of $k = P/m$ as P -module is:

$$0 \rightarrow P(-2) \rightarrow P(-1) \oplus P(-1) \rightarrow P \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

$$1 \rightarrow \bar{1}$$

$$e_1 = (1, 0) \rightsquigarrow x_1$$

$$e_2 = (0, 1) \rightsquigarrow x_2$$

$$1 \rightsquigarrow (-x_2, x_1)$$

More in general we can find a free resolution of $k = P/m$ as $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module, $n \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{K} : 0 \rightarrow P(-n) \binom{n}{n} \rightarrow P(-n+1) \binom{n}{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P(-1) \binom{n}{1} \rightarrow P$$

the Koszul complex of (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem

We deduce an easy proof of a graded version of

Theorem (Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem)

*Every finitely generated P -module has a **finite** graded free resolution (of length $\leq n$)*

In fact

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i(k, M) = H_i(\mathbb{K} \otimes M) = 0$$

for every $i \geq n + 1$ ($K_i = 0$ for $i \geq n + 1$).

Every graded free resolution \mathbb{F} of M can be minimalized: **any free resolution of M can be obtained from a minimal one by adding "trivial complexes"** of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P(-a) \rightarrow P(-a) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$$

Auslander-Buchsbaum formula

If M has the following minimal P -free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow F_h = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} P(-j)^{\beta_{hj}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} P(-j)^{\beta_{0j}} \rightarrow M$$

Define **Projective dimension** (or Homological dimension)

$$pd(M) := \max\{i : \beta_{ij}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j\}$$

that is $h =$ length of the resolution.

Theorem (Auslander-Buchsbaum formula)

$$pd_P(M) = n - \text{depth}(M)$$

where $\text{depth}(M) =$ length of a (indeed any) maximal M -regular sequence in m .

$$M \text{ is Cohen-Macaulay} \iff \text{depth} M = \dim M \iff pd_P(M) = n - \dim M.$$

Let I be an homogeneous ideal of P .

Proposition

The Betti numbers of I determine the HF of I . If β_{ij} are the graded Betti numbers of I , then the Hilbert series of P/I is given by

$$HS_{P/I}(z) = \frac{1 + \sum_{ij} (-1)^{i+1} \beta_{ij} z^j}{(1-z)^n}$$

If we consider the previous example $I = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3)$ in $P = k[x, y, z]$. We have seen that a minimal free resolution of I as P -module is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow P(-4) \rightarrow P(-3)^3 \oplus P(-4) \rightarrow P(-2)^3 \oplus P(-3) \rightarrow P \rightarrow P/I \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $HS_{P(-d)^\beta}(z) = \frac{\beta z^d}{(1-z)^n}$, then

$$HS_{P/I}(z) = \frac{1 - 3z^2 - z^3 + 3z^3 + z^4 - z^4}{(1-z)^3} = \frac{1 + 2z}{1-z}$$

Betti Diagram

The numerical invariants in a minimal free resolution can be presented by using "a piece of notation" introduced by Bayer and Stillman: the **Betti diagram**.

This is a table displaying the numbers β_{ij} in the pattern

	0	1	2	...	i
0 :	β_{00}	β_{11}	β_{22}	...	β_{ii}
1 :	β_{01}	β_{12}	β_{23}	...	β_{i+1}
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
s :	β_{0s}	β_{1s+1}	β_{2s+2}	...	β_{i+s}
Σ	β_0	β_1	β_2	...	β_i

with β_{ij} in the i -th column and $(j - i)$ -th row.

Thus the i -th column corresponds to the i -th free module

$$F_i = \bigoplus_j P(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}.$$

Example

```
Use R ::= QQ[t,x,y,z];
```

```
I := Ideal(x^2-yt,xy-zt,xy);
```

```
Res(I);
```

```
0 --> R^2(-5) --> R^4(-4) --> R^3(-2)
```

```
-----
```

```
BettiDiagram(I);
```

```
          0      1      2
```

```
-----
```

```
2:      3      -      -
```

```
3:      -      4      2
```

```
-----
```

```
Tot:    3      4      2
```

```
-----
```

Definition

Given a minimal P -free resolution of M :

$$\mathbb{F} : \dots \rightarrow F_i = \bigoplus P(-j)^{\beta_{ij}(M)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 = \bigoplus P(-j)^{\beta_{0j}(M)}$$

the **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity** of M is

$$\text{reg}(M) = \max\{j - i : \beta_{ij}(M) \neq 0\}$$

We remark that if I is an homogeneous ideal $\subseteq P$

$$\text{pd}(P/I) = \text{pd}(I) + 1$$

$$\text{reg}(I) = \text{reg}(P/I) + 1$$

Moreover:

- $\text{reg}(I) \geq$ maximum degree of a (minimal) generator
- if M is Artinian

$$\text{reg}(M) = \max\{i : M_i \neq 0\}$$

Exercise. Starting from the Betti Diagram, write a `CocoA` function returning the Castelnuovo regularity of M .

```
Use P ::= Q[x,y,z,w];
I := Ideal(xz-yw, xw-y^2, x^2y+xzw, xy^2, xyz);
CastelnuovoRegularity(I);
```

```
4
```

```
-----
Res(I);
```

```
-----
P^2(-7) -> P^6(-6) -> P^5(-4) (+) P^3(-5) -> P^2(-2) (+) P^3(-3)
```

```
-----
BettiDiagram(I);
```

```
-----
      0      1      2      3
-----
2:    2      -      -      -
3:    3      5      -      -
4:    -      3      6      2
-----
Tot:  5      8      6      2
-----
```

If we consider THE example

$$I = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3) \subseteq P = k[x, y, z].$$

We have seen that a minimal free resolution of I as P -module is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow F_2 = P(-4) \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 = P(-3)^3 \oplus P(-4) \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 = P(-2)^3 \oplus P(-3) \xrightarrow{f_0} I \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

- $pd(I) = 2$
- $reg(I) = 3 = \max$ degree of a minimal generator.
- $\dim P/I = 1$ (we know that $HS_{P/I}(z) = \frac{1+2z}{1-z}$).

Hence P/I is not Cohen-Macaulay since $pd(P/I) = 3 > 3 - \dim P/I = 2$.

- $reg\text{-index}(P/I) < reg(P/I) = 2$

Lex-segment ideal

Let I be an homogeneous ideal in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

By Macaulay's Theorem there exists a lexicographic ideal L with the same HF of I (L_j is spanned by the first $\dim_K L_j = \dim_K I_j$ monomials in the lexicographic order).

- (Bigatti, Hulett, Pardue)

$$\beta_{ij}(P/I) \leq \beta_{ij}(P/L)$$

- Hence $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/L)$
- (I. Peeva) the Betti numbers $\beta_{ij}(P/I)$ can be obtained from $\beta_{ij}(P/L)$ by a sequence of consecutive cancellations.

i.e. $\dots \rightarrow P(-6)^2 \oplus P(-5) \rightarrow P(-5) \oplus P(-3) \rightarrow \dots$

Tutorial

Exercise Consider the homogeneous coordinate ring of the “twisted cubic”:

$$R = K[s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3]$$

- 1 Prove that $R = P/I$ where $P = K[x_0, \dots, x_3]$ and $I = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$
- 2 Prove that R is CM
- 3 Compute $\text{HF}_R(j)$, $\text{reg}(R)$
- 4 Compare $\text{reg}(I)$ and $\text{reg}(Lt_\tau(I))$ with τ any term ordering

Exercise Consider the homogeneous coordinate ring of the smooth rational quartic in \mathbb{P}^3

$$R = K[s^4, s^3t, st^3, t^4]$$

- 1 Prove that $R \simeq P/I$ where $P = K[x_0, \dots, x_3]$ and $I = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1^2 & x_1x_3 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_0x_2 & x_2^2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}$
- 2 Prove that R is not CM
- 3 Compute $\text{reg}(I)$

Tutorial

Exercise Compute the Betti diagram of 11 randomly chosen points in \mathbb{P}^7 . Compute regularity index (`RegularityIndex`) and regularity.

Exercise Let $P = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in P$ homogeneous polynomials which form a regular sequence.

- 1 Assume $d_i = \deg(F_i)$ and compute $\text{reg}(I)$ where $I = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$
- 2 Can you compute the value of $\text{reg}(I)$ where I is generated by a regular sequence of degrees d_1, \dots, d_r ?

Exercise Describe Hilbert function, Hilbert polynomial, Betti diagram, regularity of each possible configuration of 4 distinct points in \mathbb{P}^2 .